arXiv:math/0610251v1 [math.AP] 7 Oct 2006

EXISTENCE AND STABILITY OF COMPRESSIBLE CURRENT-VORTEX SHEETS IN THREE-DIMENSIONAL MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS

GUI-QIANG CHEN AND YA-GUANG WANG

Abstract. Compressible vortex sheets are fundamental waves, along with shock and rarefaction waves, in entropy solutions to the multidimensional hyperbolic systems of conservation laws; and understanding the behavior of compressible vortex sheets is an important step towards our full understanding of fluid motions and the behavior of entropy solutions. For the Euler equations in two-dimensional gas dynamics, the classical linearized stability analysis on compressible vortex sheets predicts stability when the Mach number $M > \sqrt{2}$ and instability when $M < \sqrt{2}$; and Artola-Majda's analysis reveals that the nonlinear instability may occur if planar vortex sheets are perturbed by highly oscillatory waves even when $M > \sqrt{2}$. For the Euler equations in three-dimensions, every compressible vortex sheet is violently unstable and this violent instability is the analogue of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability for incompressible fluids. The purpose of this paper is to understand whether compressible vortex sheets in three dimensions, which are unstable in the regime of pure gas dynamics, become stable under the magnetic effect in three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics (MHD). One of the main features is that the stability problem is equivalent to a free boundary problem whose free boundary is a characteristic surface, which is more delicate than noncharacteristic free boundary problems. Another feature is that the linearized problem for current-vortex sheets in MHD does not meet the uniform Kreiss-Lopatinskii condition. These features cause additional analytical difficulties and especially prevent a direct use of the standard Picard iteration to the nonlinear problem. In this paper, we develop a nonlinear approach to deal with these difficulties in three-dimensional MHD. We first carefully formulate the linearized problem for the current-vortex sheets to show rigorously that the magnetic effect makes the problem weakly stable and establish energy estimates, especially high-order energy estimates, in terms of the nonhomogeneous terms and variable coefficients without loss of the order. Then we exploit these results to develop a suitable iteration scheme of Nash-Moser-Hörmander type and establish its convergence, which leads to the existence and stability of compressible current-vortex sheets, locally in time, in the three-dimensional MHD.

1. Introduction

We are concerned with the existence and stability of compressible current-vortex sheets in three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics (MHD). The motion of inviscid MHD fluids is governed by the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla\cdot(\rho v) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho v) + \nabla\cdot(\rho v\otimes v - H\otimes H) + \nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|H|^2) = 0, \\ \partial_t H - \nabla\times(v\times H) = 0, \\ \partial_t\big(\rho(\frac{1}{2}(|v|^2 + \frac{|H|^2}{\rho}) + e)\big) + \nabla\cdot\big(\rho v(\frac{1}{2}|v|^2 + e + \frac{p}{\rho}) + H\times(v\times H)\big) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\nabla\cdot H = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\rho, v = (v_1, v_2, v_3), H = (H_1, H_2, H_3)$, and p are the density, velocity, magnetic field, and pressure, respectively; $e = e(\rho, S)$ is the internal energy; and S is the entropy. The Gibbs relation

$$\theta dS = de - \frac{p}{\rho^2}d\rho$$

implies the constitutive relations:

$$p = \rho^2 e_\rho(\rho, S), \qquad \theta = e_S(\rho, S),$$

Date: September 8, 2006.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35L65,35L60,76W05,76E25,35R35; Secondary: 76N10,35L67.

Key words and phrases. Stability, existence, compressible vortex sheets, MHD, free boundary problem, linearized problem, decoupled formulation, Nash-Moser-Hörmander iteration, energy estimates, magnetic effect.

where θ is the temperature. The quantity $c = \sqrt{p_\rho(\rho, S)}$ is the sonic speed of the fluid.

For smooth solutions, the equations in (1.1) are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla)p + \rho c^2 \nabla \cdot v = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla)v + \nabla p - (\nabla \times H) \times H = 0, \\ (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla)H - (H \cdot \nabla)v + H \nabla \cdot v = 0, \\ (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla)S = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

which can be written as a 8×8 symmetric hyperbolic system for $U = (p, v, H, S)$ of the form:

$$B_0(U)\partial_t U + \sum_{j=1}^{3} B_j(U)\partial_{x_j} U = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Compressible vortex sheets occur ubiquitously in nature (cf. [3, 4, 10, 13, 21, 23, 24]) and are fundamental waves in entropy solutions to the multidimensional hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. For example, the vortex sheets are one of the core waves in the Mach reflection configurations when a planar shock hits a wedge and, more generally, in the two-dimensional Riemann solutions, which are building blocks of general entropy solutions to the Euler equations in gas dynamics. Therefore, understanding the existence and stability of compressible vortex sheets is an important step towards our full understanding of fluid motions and the behavior of entropy solutions to the multidimensional hyperbolic systems of conservation laws, along with the existence and stability of shock and rarefaction waves (cf. Majda [18], Glimm-Majda [13], Alinhac [2], and Métivier [19]; also see [11, 15]).

For the Euler equations in two-dimensional gas dynamics, the classical linearized stability analysis on compressible vortex sheets predicts stability when the Mach number $M > \sqrt{2}$ since there are no growing modes in this case, and instability when $M < \sqrt{2}$ since there are exponentially exploding modes of instability (see Miles [20] for the definitive treatment). The local nonlinear stability for the two-dimensional case with $M > \sqrt{2}$ was recently established by Coulombel-Secchi [8, 9] when the initial data is a small perturbation of a planar vortex sheet. In a series of papers, Artola and Majda [3] studied the stability of vortex sheets by using the argument of nonlinear geometric optics to analyze the interaction between vortex sheets and highly oscillatory waves. They first observed the generation of three distinct families of kink modes traveling along the slip stream bracketed by shocks and rarefaction waves and provided a detailed explanation of the instability of supersonic vortex sheets even when $M > \sqrt{2}$. Therefore, one can not expect the nonlinear stability globally for two-dimensional compressible vortex sheets.

For the Euler equations in three-dimensional gas dynamics, the situation is even more complicated. In fact, it is well known that every compressible vortex sheet is violently unstable and this violent instability is the analogue of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability for incompressible fluids.

The purpose of this paper is to understand whether compressible vortex sheets in three dimensions, which are unstable in the regime of pure gas dynamics, become stable under the magnetic effect, that is, the nonlinear stability of current-vortex sheets in three-dimensional MHD. One of the main features is that the stability problem is equivalent to a free boundary problem whose free boundary is a characteristic surface, which is more delicate than the noncharacteristic free boundary problems that are endowed with a strict jump of the normal velocity on the free boundary (cf. [18, 11, 19]; also [6, 10]). Another feature is that the linearized problem for current-vortex sheets in MHD does not meet the uniform Kreiss-Lopatinskii condition [17, 18]; see the analysis in Blokhin-Trakhinin [5] and Trakhinin [22]. These features cause additional analytical difficulties and, in particular, they prevent a direct use of the standard Picard iteration to prove the existence of solutions to the nonlinear problem.

In this paper, we develop a nonlinear approach to deal with these difficulties in three-dimensional MHD, based on the previous results mentioned above. We first carefully formulate the linearized problem for the current-vortex sheet to show rigorously that, although any compressible vortex sheet may be violently unstable in the regime of pure gas dynamics and does not meet the uniform Kreiss-Lopatinskii condition, the magnetic effect makes the linearized problem weakly stable as observed in [5, 22]. In particular, we successfully establish high-order energy estimates of the solutions for the linearized problem in terms of the nonhomogeneous terms and variable coefficients without loss of the order. Then we exploit these results to develop a suitable iteration scheme of Nash-Moser-Hörmander type and establish its convergence, which leads to the existence and stability of compressible current-vortex sheets, locally in time, in the three-dimensional MHD.

We remark that, in order to establish the energy estimates, especially *high-order energy estimates*, of solutions to the linearized problem derived from the current-vortex sheet, we have identified a well-structured decoupled formulation so that the linear problem (3.1)–(3.3) is decoupled into one standard initial-boundary value problem (3.10) for a symmetric hyperbolic equations and another problem (3.11) for an ordinary differential equation for the front. This decoupled formulation is much more convenient and simpler than that in (58)–(60) given in [22] and is essential for us to establish the desired high-order energy estimates of solutions, which is one of the key ingredients for developing the suitable iteration scheme of Nash-Moser-Hörmander type that converges.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first set up the current-vortex sheet problem as a free boundary problem, and then we reformulate this problem into a fixed initial-boundary value problem and state the main theorem of this paper. In Sections 3–4, we first carefully formulate the linearized problem for compressible current-vortex sheets and identify the decoupled formulation; and then we make energy estimates, especially high-order energy estimates, of the solutions in terms of the nonhomogeneous terms and variable coefficients without loss of the order. Then, in Section 5, we analyze the compatibility conditions and construct the zero-th order approximate solutions for the nonlinear problem, which is a basis for our iteration scheme. In Section 6, we develop a suitable iteration scheme of Nash-Moser-Hörmander type. In Section 7, we establish the convergence of the iteration scheme towards a compressible current-vortex sheet. Finally, in Section 8, we complete several necessary estimates of the iteration scheme used in Section 7.

2. CURRENT-VORTEX SHEETS AND MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we first set up the current-vortex sheet problem as a free boundary problem and then reformulate this problem into a fixed initial-boundary value problem, and finally we state the main theorem of this paper.

Let a piecewise smooth function $U(t,x)$ be an entropy solution to (1.1) with the form:

$$U(t,x)=\begin{cases} U^+(t,x) & \text{when } x_1>\psi(t,x_2,x_3),\\ U^-(t,x) & \text{when } x_1<\psi(t,x_2,x_3).\end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Then, on the discontinuity front $\Gamma=\{x_1=\psi(t,x_2,x_3)\}$, $U(t,x)$ must satisfy the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions:

$$\begin{cases} [m_N]=0, \qquad [H_N]=0,\\ m_N[v_N]+[q]=0, \qquad m_N[v_\tau]=H_N[H_\tau],\\ m_N[\frac{H_k}{\rho}]=H_N[v_k] \qquad \text{for } k=1,2,3,\\ m_N[e+\frac{1}{2}(|v|^2+\frac{|H|^2}{\rho})]+[qv_N-H_N(H\cdot v)]=0,\end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where $[\alpha]$ denotes the jump of the function α on the front Γ, (v_N,v_τ) (resp. (H_N,H_τ)) are the normal and tangential components of v (resp. H) on Γ, i.e.,

$$v_N:=v_1-\psi_{x_2}v_2-\psi_{x_3}v_3, \qquad v_\tau=(v_{\tau_1},v_{\tau_2})^\top:=(\psi_{x_2}v_1+v_2,\psi_{x_3}v_1+v_3)^\top,$$
$$H_N:=H_1-\psi_{x_2}H_2-\psi_{x_3}H_3, \qquad H_\tau=(H_{\tau_1},H_{\tau_2})^\top:=(\psi_{x_2}H_1+H_2,\psi_{x_3}H_1+H_3)^\top,$$

$m_N=\rho(v_N-\psi_t)$ is the mass transfer flux, and $q=p+\frac{|H|^2}{2}$ is the total pressure.

If $m_N=0$ on Γ, then $(U^\pm,\Gamma)$ is a contact discontinuity for (1.1). In this paper, we focus on the case:

$$H_N^+=H_N^-=0, \tag{2.3}$$
$$H_\tau^+\not\parallel H_\tau^-, \tag{2.4}$$

for which $U(t,x)$ is called a compressible current-vortex sheet. Then the current-vortex sheet is determined by (2.3) and

$$\psi_t=v_N^\pm, \qquad [p+\frac{|H|^2}{2}]=0, \tag{2.5}$$

on which generically

$$([\rho],[v_\tau],[S])\neq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

When $H\equiv 0$, $U(t,x)$ becomes a classical compressible vortex sheet in fluid mechanics without magnetic effect.

Since $H_N^+=H_N^-$ on $\Gamma=\{x_1=\psi(t,x_2,x_3)\}$, it is easy to see that *condition* (2.4) *is equivalent to the condition:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_2^+\\ H_3^+\end{pmatrix} \not\parallel \begin{pmatrix} H_2^-\\ H_3^-\end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{on } \Gamma. \tag{2.7}$$

Indeed, when $H_N^+=H_N^-$ on Γ, we have

$$H_\tau^\pm=((1+\psi_{x_2}^2)H_2^\pm+\psi_{x_2}\psi_{x_3}H_3^\pm,\psi_{x_2}\psi_{x_3}H_2^\pm+(1+\psi_{x_2}^2)H_3^\pm)^\top$$

which implies that $\alpha H_\tau^+ +\beta H_\tau^-=0$ if and only if $\alpha H_k^+ +\beta H_k^-=0$ hold for $k=2,3$.

Furthermore, system (1.1) has the following property: *Let* $(U^\pm,\psi)$ *be the current-vortex sheet to* (1.1) *for* $t\in[0,T)$ *for some* $T>0$. *Then, if* $\nabla\cdot H^\pm(0,x)=0$, *we have*

$$\nabla\cdot H^\pm(t,x)=0 \qquad \textit{for all } t\in[0,T). \tag{2.8}$$

This can be seen as follows: From (1.3), we find that, on both sides of Γ,

$$(\partial_t+v^\pm\cdot\nabla)(\nabla\cdot H^\pm)+(\nabla\cdot v^\pm)(\nabla\cdot H^\pm)=0. \tag{2.9}$$

On Γ, we use $\psi_t=v_N^\pm$ to obtain

$$\partial_t+v^\pm\cdot\nabla=\partial_t+(v_N^\pm+\psi_{x_2}v_2^\pm+\psi_{x_3}v_3^\pm)\partial_{x_1}+v_2^\pm\partial_{x_2}+v_3^\pm\partial_{x_3}=\tau^\pm\cdot(\partial_t,\nabla),$$

where the vectors $\tau^\pm=(1,v_N^\pm+\psi_{x_2}v_2^\pm+\psi_{x_3}v_3^\pm,v_2^\pm,v_3^\pm)^\top$ are orthogonal to the space-time normal vector $n=(\psi_t,-1,\psi_{x_2},\psi_{x_3})^\top$ on Γ. Thus, $\partial_t+v^\pm\cdot\nabla$ are tangential derivative operators to Γ. Thus, equations in (2.9) are transport equations for $\nabla\cdot H$ on both sides of Γ, which implies (2.8).

Set

$$D(\lambda,U):=\begin{pmatrix}\tilde D(\lambda,U) & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{with} \quad \tilde D(\lambda,U):=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\lambda}{\rho c^2}H^\top & O_{1\times 3}\\ \lambda\rho H & I_3 & -\rho\lambda I_3\\ 0_{3\times 1} & -\lambda I_3 & I_3\end{pmatrix}.$$

As in [22], property (2.8) implies that system (1.1)–(1.2) is equivalent to the following system on both sides of Γ:

$$D(\lambda^\pm,U^\pm)\Big(B_0(U^\pm)\partial_t U^\pm+\sum_{j=1}^3 B_j(U^\pm)\partial_{x_j}U^\pm\Big)+\lambda^\pm G^\pm\,\nabla\cdot H^\pm=0, \tag{2.10}$$

provided $\nabla\cdot H^\pm(0,x)=0$, where

$$G^\pm=-(1,0,0,0,H^\pm,0)^\top,$$

and $\lambda^\pm=\lambda^\pm(v_2^\pm,v_3^\pm,H_2^\pm,H_3^\pm)$ will be determined later so that

$$(\lambda^\pm)^2<\frac{(c^\pm)^2}{\rho^\pm(c^\pm)^2+|H^\pm|^2} \tag{2.11}$$

are satisfied for the sound speeds $c^\pm$.

We rewrite system (2.10) as

$$A_0(U^\pm)\partial_t U^\pm+\sum_{j=1}^3 A_j(U^\pm)\partial_{x_j}U^\pm=0, \tag{2.12}$$

which is also symmetric hyperbolic. Then the problem of existence and stability of current-vortex sheets of the form (2.1) can be formulated as the following free boundary problem:

Free Boundary Problem: *Determine* $U^{\pm}(t,x)$ *and a free boundary* $\Gamma=\{x_1=\psi(t,x_2,x_3)\}$ *for* $t>0$ *such that*

$$\begin{cases} A_0(U^{\pm})\partial_t U^{\pm}+\sum_{j=1}^{3}A_j(U^{\pm})\partial_{x_j}U^{\pm}=0 \qquad \textit{for } \pm(x_1-\psi(t,x_2,x_3))>0,\\ U|_{t=0}=\begin{cases} U_0^+(x) & \textit{when } x_1>\psi_0(x_2,x_3),\\ U_0^-(x) & \textit{when } x_1<\psi_0(x_2,x_3)\end{cases}\end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

satisfying the jump conditions on Γ*:*

$$\psi_t=v_N^{\pm},\quad H_N^{\pm}=0,\quad [p+\frac{|H|^2}{2}]=0,\quad H_\tau^+\not\parallel H_\tau^-, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\psi_0=\psi(0,x_1,x_2)$*, and condition* (2.11) *is satisfied for* $\lambda^{\pm}$ *given by* (3.9) *later.*

For a piecewise smooth solution $U(t,x)$ of problem (2.13)–(2.14) with smooth $(U^{\pm},\psi)$ for $t\in[0,T)$, it can be similarly verified that, if $\nabla\cdot H^{\pm}(0,x)=0$, then $\nabla\cdot H^{\pm}(t,x)=0$ for all $t\in[0,T)$. Hence the equations in (2.13) and (1.1)–(1.2) are equivalent for such a solution.

Furthermore, unlike the shock case, the new difficulty here is that the free boundary Γ in problem (2.13)–(2.14) is now a characteristic surface of system (2.13), rather than the noncharacteristic boundary surface endowed with a strict jump of the normal velocity as in the shock case (see [6, 10, 11, 18, 19]).

To deal with such a free boundary problem, it is convenient to employ the standard partial hodograph transformation:

$$\begin{cases} t=\tilde t,\quad x_2=\tilde x_2,\quad x_3=\tilde x_3,\\ x_1=\Psi^{\pm}(\tilde t,\tilde x_1,\tilde x_2,\tilde x_3)\end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

with $\Psi^{\pm}$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \pm\partial_{\tilde x_1}\Psi^{\pm}\geq\kappa>0,\\ \Psi^+|_{\tilde x_1=0}=\Psi^-|_{\tilde x_1=0}=\psi(\tilde t,\tilde x_2,\tilde x_3)\end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

for some constant $\kappa>0$. Under (2.15), the domains $\Omega^{\pm}=\{\pm(x_1-\psi(t,x_2,x_3))>0\}$ are transformed into $\{\tilde x_1>0\}$ and the free boundary Γ into the fixed boundary $\{\tilde x_1=0\}$.

Then we define $\tilde U^{\pm}(\tilde t,\tilde x):=U^{\pm}(t,x)$. From the first jump condition in (2.14), the natural candidates of $\Psi^{\pm}$ are those that satisfy the following eikonal equations:

$$\partial_t\Psi^{\pm}-v_1^{\pm}+v_2^{\pm}\partial_{x_2}\Psi^{\pm}+v_3^{\pm}\partial_{x_3}\Psi^{\pm}=0 \qquad \text{in } \{x_1>0\}, \tag{2.17}$$

where we have dropped the tildes in the formula for simplicity of notations.

It is easy to check that, under (2.15), $\tilde U^{\pm}(\tilde t,\tilde x)=U^{\pm}(t,x)$ satisfy

$$L(U^{\pm},\Psi^{\pm})U^{\pm}=0 \qquad \text{in } \{x_1>0\}, \tag{2.18}$$

the boundary conditions on $\{x_1=0\}$:

$$\begin{cases} \Psi^+|_{x_1=0}=\Psi^-|_{x_1=0}=\psi,\\ B(U^+,U^-,\psi)|_{x_1=0}=0,\end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

and the initial condition at $\{t=0\}$:

$$(U^{\pm},\psi)|_{t=0}=(U_0^{\pm}(x),\psi_0(x_2,x_3)), \tag{2.20}$$

where the tildes have also been dropped for simplicity of notations,

$$L(U,\Psi)V=A_0(U)\partial_t V+\bar A_1(U,\Psi)\partial_{x_1}V+\sum_{j=2}^{3}A_j(U)\partial_{x_j}V$$

with $\bar A_1(U,\Psi)=\frac{1}{\partial_{x_1}\Psi}\big(A_1(U)-\partial_t\Psi A_0(U)-\sum_{j=2}^{3}\partial_{x_j}\Psi A_j(U)\big)$,

$$B(U^+,U^-,\psi)=\Big(\partial_t\psi-U_{v,N}^{\pm},U_{H,N}^{\pm},q^+-q^-\Big)^{\top}$$

with $U_{v,N}^{\pm}=U_2^{\pm}-\psi_{x_2}U_3^{\pm}-\psi_{x_3}U_4^{\pm}, U_{H,N}^{\pm}=U_5^{\pm}-\psi_{x_2}U_6^{\pm}-\psi_{x_3}U_7^{\pm}$, and

$$q=U_1+\frac{1}{2}|U_H|^2 \qquad \text{for } U_H=(U_5,U_6,U_7)^{\top}.$$

With these, the free boundary problem has been reduced into the fixed **initial-boundary value problem** (2.17)–(2.20).

To solve (2.17)–(2.20), as in [2, 7, 14], it is natural to introduce the tangential vector $M = (M_1, M_2, M_3)$ of $\{x_1 = 0\}$:

$$M_1 = \sigma(x_1)\partial_{x_1} \quad M_2 = \partial_{x_2}, \quad M_3 = \partial_{x_3},$$

with

$$\sigma(x_1) := \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{when } 0 \le x_1 \le 1, \\ 2 & \text{when } x_1 \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

and the weighted Sobolev spaces defined on $\Omega_T := \{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \ : \ x_1 > 0\}$:

$$B^s_\mu(\Omega_T) := \{u \in L^2(\Omega_T) \ : \ e^{-\mu t} M^\alpha \partial^k_{x_1} u \in L^2(\Omega_T) \quad \text{for } |\alpha| + 2k \le s\}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu > 0$, imposed with the norms

$$\|u\|_{s,\mu,T} := \Big(\int_0^T \|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{s,\mu} dt \Big)^{1/2},$$

where

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{s,\mu} := \sum_{|\alpha|+2k \le s} \mu^{2(s-|\alpha|-2k)} \|e^{-\mu t} M^\alpha \partial^k_{x_1} u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2}.$$

We will also use similar notation as above for the spaces with $\mu = 0$, $B^s(\Omega_T)$, whose norm is defined by

$$\|u\|_{s,T} := \Big(\sum_{|\alpha|+2k \le s} \|M^\alpha \partial^k_{x_1} u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \Big)^{1/2}.$$

Also denote $b\Omega_T := \{(t, x_2, x_3) \ : \ t \in [0,T], \, (x_2,x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$.

Then, when the initial data $(U^\pm_0, \psi_0)$ is a small perturbation of a plane current-vortex sheet $(\bar{U}^\pm, \bar{\psi})$ for constant states $\bar{U}^\pm$ and $\bar{\psi} = 0$ satisfying (2.3)–(2.5), we have the following main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2.1 (Main Theorem). *Assume that, for any fixed $\alpha \ge 14$ and $s \in [\alpha + 5, 2\alpha - 9]$, the initial data functions $\psi_0 \in H^{2s+3}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $U^\pm_0 - \bar{U}^\pm \in B^{2(s+2)}(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$ satisfy the compatibility conditions of problem* (2.17)–(2.20) *up to order $s+2$. Then there exists a solution $(U^\pm, \Psi^\pm)$ of the initial-boundary value problem* (2.17)–(2.20) *such that*

$$(U^\pm - U^\pm_a, \Psi^\pm - \Psi^\pm_a) \in B^\alpha(\Omega_T) \quad \textit{and} \quad \psi = \Psi^\pm|_{x_1=0} \in H^{\alpha-1}(b\Omega_T)$$

for some functions $U^\pm_a$ and $\Psi^\pm_a$ satisfying

$$U^\pm_a - \bar{U}^\pm, \Psi^\pm_a \mp x_1 \in B^{s+3}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3_+).$$

We remark that, since $\pm\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\Psi^\pm \ge \kappa > 0$, the corresponding vector function of the solution $(U^\pm, \Psi^\pm)$ in Theorem 2.1 under the inverse of the partial hodograph transform is a solution of the free boundary problem, i.e. the current-vortex sheet problem, which implies the existence and stability of compressible current-vortex sheets to (2.1)–(2.2) under the initial perturbation. To achieve this, we start with the linear stability in Sections 3–4 and then establish the nonlinear stability by developing nonlinear techniques in Sections 5–7. Some estimates used in Sections 5–7 for the iteration scheme of Nash-Moser-Hörmander type are given in Section 8.

3. Linear Stability I: Linearized Problem

To study the linear stability of current-vortex sheets, we first derive a linearized problem from the nonlinear problem (2.17)–(2.20). By a direct calculation, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\big(L(U+sV, \Psi+s\Phi)(U+sV)\big)|_{s=0} = L(U,\Psi)W + E(U,\Psi)W + \frac{\Phi}{\partial_{x_1}\Psi}\partial_{x_1}(L(U,\Psi)U),$$

where

$$W = V - \frac{\Phi}{\partial_{x_1}\Psi}\partial_{x_1}U$$

is the good unknown as introduced in [2] (see also [11, 19]) and

$$E(U,\Psi)W = W\cdot\nabla_U(\bar{A}_1(U,\Psi))\partial_{x_1}U + \sum_{j=2}^{3} W\cdot\nabla_U A_j(U)\partial_{x_j}U.$$

Then we obtain the following linearized problem of (2.17)–(2.20):

$$L(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)W^\pm + E(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)W^\pm = F^\pm \qquad \text{in } \{x_1>0\} \tag{3.1}$$

with the boundary conditions on $\{x_1=0\}$:

$$\begin{cases} \phi_t - (W_2^\pm - \psi_{x_2}W_3^\pm - \psi_{x_3}W_4^\pm) + U_3^\pm\phi_{x_2} + U_4^\pm\phi_{x_3} = h_1^\pm, \\ W_5^\pm - \psi_{x_2}W_6^\pm - \psi_{x_3}W_7^\pm - U_6^\pm\phi_{x_2} - U_7^\pm\phi_{x_3} = h_2^\pm, \\ W_1^+ - W_1^- + \sum_{j=5}^{7}(U_j^+W_j^+ - U_j^-W_j^-) = h_3, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

and the initial condition at $\{t=0\}$:

$$(W^\pm,\phi)|_{t=0} = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

for some functions $F^\pm$ and $h := (h_1^\pm, h_2^\pm, h_3)^\top$.

To separate the characteristic and noncharacteristic components of the unknown $W^\pm$, we introduce $J^\pm = J(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)$ as a 8×8 regular matrix such that

$$X^\pm = (J^\pm)^{-1}W^\pm \tag{3.4}$$

satisfies

$$\begin{cases} X_1^\pm = W_1^\pm + \sum_{j=5}^{7} U_j^\pm W_j^\pm, \\ X_2^\pm = W_2^\pm - \Psi_{x_2}^\pm W_3^\pm - \Psi_{x_3}^\pm W_4^\pm, \\ X_5^\pm = W_5^\pm - \Psi_{x_2}^\pm W_6^\pm - \Psi_{x_3}^\pm W_7^\pm, \\ (X_3^\pm, X_4^\pm, X_6^\pm, X_7^\pm, X_8^\pm) = (W_3^\pm, W_4^\pm, W_6^\pm, W_7^\pm, W_8^\pm). \end{cases}$$

Then, under transformation (3.4), problem (3.1)–(3.3) for $(W^\pm,\phi)$ is equivalent to the following problem for $(X^\pm,\phi)$:

$$\tilde{L}(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)X^\pm + \tilde{E}(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)X^\pm = \tilde{F}^\pm \qquad \text{in } \{x_1>0\} \tag{3.5}$$

with the boundary conditions on $\{x_1=0\}$:

$$\begin{cases} \phi_t - X_2^\pm + U_3^\pm\phi_{x_2} + U_4^\pm\phi_{x_3} = h_1^\pm, \\ X_5^\pm - U_6^\pm\phi_{x_2} - U_7^\pm\phi_{x_3} = h_2^\pm, \\ X_1^+ - X_1^- = h_3, \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

and the initial condition at $\{t=0\}$:

$$(X^\pm,\phi)|_{t=0} = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\tilde{F}^\pm = (J^\pm)^\top F^\pm$,

$$\tilde{L}(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm) = \tilde{A}_0(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)\partial_t + \sum_{j=1}^{3}\tilde{A}_j(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)\partial_{x_j}$$

with $\tilde{A}_1(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm) = (J^\pm)^\top\bar{A}_1(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)J^\pm$, $\tilde{A}_j(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm) = (J^\pm)^\top A_j(U^\pm)J^\pm$, $j\neq 1$, and

$$\tilde{E}(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)X^\pm = (J^\pm)^\top E(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)J^\pm X^\pm + (J^\pm)^\top(L(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)J^\pm)X^\pm.$$

For simplicity of notations, we will drop the tildes in (3.5). By a direct calculation, we see that $A_1(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)$ can be decomposed into three parts:

$$A_1(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm) = A_1^{\pm,0} + A_1^{\pm,1} + A_1^{\pm,2}$$

with

$$A_1^{\pm,0} = \frac{1}{\partial_{x_1}\Psi^\pm}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ a^\top & O_{7\times 7}\end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1^{\pm,1} = \frac{\partial_t\Psi^\pm - U_{v,N}^\pm}{\partial_{x_1}\Psi^\pm}\tilde{A}_1^{\pm,1}, \quad A_1^{\pm,2} = \frac{U_{H,N}^\pm}{\partial_{x_1}\Psi^\pm}\tilde{A}_1^{\pm,2}$$

where $a = (1,0,0,-\lambda^\pm,0,0,0)$, $U_{v,N}^\pm = U_2^\pm - \Psi_{x_2}U_3^\pm - \Psi_{x_3}U_4^\pm$, and $U_{H,N}^\pm = U_5^\pm - \Psi_{x_2}U_6^\pm - \Psi_{x_3}U_7^\pm$.

When the state $(U^{\pm}, \Psi^{\pm})$ satisfies the boundary conditions given in (2.19), we know from (3.5) that, on $\{x_1 = 0\}$,

$$< \begin{pmatrix} A_1(U^+, \Psi^+) & 0 \\ 0 & A_1(U^-, \Psi^-) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X^+ \\ X^- \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X^+ \\ X^- \end{pmatrix} >= 2X_1^{\pm}[X_2 - \lambda X_5], \tag{3.8}$$

when $[X_1] = 0$ on $\{x_1 = 0\}$.

Furthermore, from (3.6), we have

$$[X_2 - \lambda X_5] = \phi_{x_2}[U_3 - \lambda U_6] + \phi_{x_3}[U_4 - \lambda U_7] - [h_1 + \lambda h_2].$$

Thus, from assumption (2.4) that is equivalent to (2.7), there exists a unique $\lambda^{\pm} = \lambda^{\pm}(v_2^{\pm}, v_3^{\pm}, H_2^{\pm}, H_3^{\pm})$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_2^+ \\ v_3^+ \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} v_2^- \\ v_3^- \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^+ \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ H_3^+ \end{pmatrix} - \lambda^- \begin{pmatrix} H_2^- \\ H_3^- \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.9}$$

that is, $[U_3 - \lambda U_6] = [U_4 - \lambda U_7] = 0$, which implies

$$[X_2 - \lambda X_5] = -[h_1 + \lambda h_2].$$

Therefore, with the choice of $\lambda^{\pm}$ in (3.9), problem (3.5)–(3.7) is decomposed into

$$\begin{cases} L(U^{\pm}, \Psi^{\pm})X^{\pm} + E(U^{\pm}, \Psi^{\pm})X^{\pm} = F^{\pm} \qquad \text{in } \{x_1 > 0\}, \\ [X_2 - \lambda X_5] = -[h_1 + \lambda h_2] \qquad \text{on } \{x_1 = 0\}, \\ X_1^+ - X_1^- = h_3 \qquad \text{on } \{x_1 = 0\}, \\ X^{\pm}|_{t \le 0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

which is maximally dissipative in the sense of Lax-Friedrichs [12, 18], and

$$\begin{cases} \phi_t = X_2^{\pm} - (U_3^{\pm}, U_4^{\pm}) \begin{pmatrix} U_6^+ & U_7^+ \\ U_6^- & U_7^- \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X_5^+ - h_2^+ \\ X_5^- - h_2^- \end{pmatrix} + h_1^{\pm} \qquad \text{on } \{x_1 = 0\}, \\ \phi|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

Remark 3.1. To have the identities in (3.11), it requires the compatibility, that is, the right-hand side of (3.11) for the plus sign is equal to the term for the minus sign. This is also guaranteed by the choice of $\lambda^{\pm}$ in (3.9).

4. LINEAR STABILITY II: ENERGY ESTIMATES

In this section, we establish the energy estimates for the linear problem (3.1)–(3.3), which implies the existence and uniqueness of solutions. We know from Section 3 that it suffices to study the **linear problem (3.10)–(3.11)**.

As noted as above, when the state $(U^{\pm}, \Psi^{\pm})$ satisfies the eikonal equation (2.17) in $\{x_1 > 0\}$ and the boundary conditions on $\{x_1 = 0\}$ in (2.19), we know that the boundary $\{x_1 = 0\}$ is a uniform characteristic of multiplicities 12 of the equations in (3.10).

First, we have the following elementary properties in the space $B^s(\Omega_T)$, whose proof can be found in [2].

Lemma 4.1. (i) *For any fixed $s > 5/2$, the identity mapping is a bounded embedding from $B^s(\Omega_T)$ to $L^{\infty}(\Omega_T)$;*

(ii) *If $s > 1$ and $u \in B^s(\Omega_T)$, then $u|_{x_1=0} \in H^{s-1}(b\Omega_T)$, the classical Sobolev space. Conversely, if $v \in H^{\sigma}(b\Omega_T)$ for a fixed $\sigma > 0$, then there exists $u \in B^{\sigma+1}(\Omega_T)$ such that $u|_{x_1=0} = v$.*

Denote by $coef(t, x)$ all the coefficient functions appeared in the equation in (3.10), and $\dot{coef}(t, x) = coef(t, x) - coef(0)$. With the decoupled formulation (3.10)–(3.11) for the linearized problem, we can now employ the Lax-Friedrichs theory [12, 18] to establish the desired energy estimates, especially the high-order energy estimates, of solutions for the linear problem.

Theorem 4.1. *For any fixed $s_0 > 17/2$, there exist constants C_0 and μ_0 depending only on $\|\dot{coef}\|_{s_0,T}$ for the coefficient functions in* (3.10) *such that, for any $s \geq s_0$ and $\mu \geq \mu_0$, the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{0\le t\le T}\|X^{\pm}(t)\|^2_{s,\mu}+\mu\|X^{\pm}\|^2_{s,\mu,T}\\
&\le \frac{C_0}{\mu}\big(\|F^{\pm}\|^2_{s,\mu,T}+\|h\|^2_{H^{s+1}_\mu(b\Omega_T)}+\|\dot{coef}\|^2_{s,\mu,T}(\|F^{\pm}\|^2_{s_0,T}+\|h\|^2_{H^{s_0+1}(b\Omega_T)})\big)
\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

holds provided that the eikonal equations (2.17) *and*

$$U^{\pm}_{H,N} := U^{\pm}_5-\partial_{x_2}\Psi^{\pm}U^{\pm}_6-\partial_{x_3}\Psi^{\pm}U^{\pm}_7=0$$

are valid for $(U^{\pm},\Psi^{\pm})$ in a neighborhood of $\{x_1=0\}$, where $h=(h^{\pm}_1,h^{\pm}_2,h_3)^{\top}$ and the norms in $H^s_\mu(b\Omega_T)$ are defined as that of $B^s_\mu(\Omega_T)$ with functions independent of x_1.

Proof: The proof is divided into two steps.

Step 1. We first study problem (3.10) with homogeneous boundary conditions:

$$h^{\pm}_1=h^{\pm}_2=h_3=0 \qquad \text{on } \{x_1=0\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Define

$$P^{\pm}=\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & P_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & I_3\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} P^{\pm}_2 & 0\\ 0 & I_5\end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$P_1=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},\quad P^{\pm}_2=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & \lambda^{\pm}\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{A}^{\pm}_1=(P^{\pm})^{\top}A^{\pm}_1P^{\pm}=\begin{pmatrix} A^{\pm,1}_{11} & A^{\pm,1}_{12}\\ A^{\pm,1}_{21} & A^{\pm,1}_{22}\end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$A^{\pm,1}_{11}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix},\qquad A^{\pm,1}_{12}=A^{\pm,1}_{21}=A^{\pm,1}_{22}=0 \tag{4.3}$$

in a neighborhood of $\{x_1=0\}$.

Thus, from (3.10) and (4.2), we find that the vector function

$$Y^{\pm}=(P^{\pm})^{-1}X^{\pm}$$

satisfies the following problem:

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{L}(U^{\pm},\Psi^{\pm})Y^{\pm}+\mathcal{E}^{\pm}(U^{\pm},\Psi^{\pm})Y^{\pm}=\mathcal{F}^{\pm} \qquad \text{in } \{x_1>0\},\\ [Y_1]=[Y_2]=0 \qquad \text{on } \{x_1=0\},\\ Y^{\pm}|_{t\le 0}=0,\end{cases}\tag{4.4}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{\pm}=(P^{\pm})^{\top}F^{\pm}$,

$$\mathcal{L}(U^{\pm},\Psi^{\pm})=\mathcal{A}^{\pm}_0\partial_t+\sum_{j=1}^{3}\mathcal{A}^{\pm}_j\partial_{x_j}$$

with $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}_j=(P^{\pm})^{\top}A^{\pm}_jP^{\pm}, 0\le j\le 3$, and $\mathcal{E}^{\pm}=(P^{\pm})^{\top}EP^{\pm}+(P^{\pm})^{\top}\sum_{j=0}^{3}A^{\pm}_j\partial_{x_j}P^{\pm}$.

L^2-estimate: With setup (4.4), we can apply the Lax-Friedrichs theory to obtain the following L^2-estimate:

$$\max_{0\le t\le T}\|Y^{\pm}(t)\|^2_{0,\mu}+\mu\|Y^{\pm}\|^2_{0,\mu,T}\le\frac{C_0}{\mu}\|\mathcal{F}^{\pm}\|^2_{0,\mu,T}\tag{4.5}$$

when $\mu\ge\mu_0>0$, where

$$C_0=C_0(\|coef\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\cdot coef\|_{L^\infty}).$$

Estimates on the tangential derivatives. From (4.4), we find that $M^\alpha Y^\pm, |\alpha| \le s$, satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}M^\alpha Y^\pm + \mathcal{E}^\pm M^\alpha Y^\pm = M^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\pm + [\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{E}^\pm, M^\alpha]Y^\pm \qquad \text{in } \{x_1 > 0\}, \\ [M^\alpha Y_1] = [M^\alpha Y_2] = 0 \qquad \text{on } \{x_1 = 0\}, \\ M^\alpha Y^\pm|_{t\le 0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

which, by the Lax-Friedrichs theory again, yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{0\le t\le T} |Y^\pm(t)|^2_{s,\mu} + \mu |Y^\pm|^2_{s,\mu,T} \\ &\le \frac{C_0}{\mu}\big(|\mathcal{F}^\pm|^2_{s,\mu,T} + (\|coef\|^*_T)^2|Y^\pm|^2_{s,\mu,T} + \|\nabla Y^\pm\|^2_{L^\infty}|\dot{coef}|^2_{s,\mu,T} + |\partial_{x_1}Y_I^\pm|^2_{s-1,\mu,T}\big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where $Y_I^\pm = (Y_1^\pm, Y_2^\pm)$, the norms $|\cdot|_{s,\mu}$ and $|\cdot|_{s,\mu,T}$ are the special cases of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{s,\mu}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{s,\mu,T}$ respectively without the normal derivatives $\partial^k_{x_1}$, and

$$\|u\|^*_T = \sum_{|\alpha|\le 2} \|M^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} + \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \|\partial_{x_1} M^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}.$$

Estimates on the normal derivatives. Set $\mathcal{A}_j = diag[\mathcal{A}_j^+, \mathcal{A}_j^-], 0 \le j \le 3$, with

$$\mathcal{A}_j^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^{\pm,j} & A_{12}^{\pm,j} \\ A_{21}^{\pm,j} & A_{22}^{\pm,j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (4.3)–(4.4), we find that $Y_I^\pm = (Y_1^\pm, Y_2^\pm)$ and $Y_{I\!I}^\pm = (Y_3^\pm, \dots, Y_8^\pm)$ satisfy the following equations:

$$A_{11}^{\pm,1}\partial_{x_1} Y_I^\pm = coef \cdot MY^\pm + coef \cdot Y^\pm + \mathcal{F}_I^\pm, \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^3 A_{22}^{\pm,j}\partial_{x_j} Y_{I\!I}^\pm + C_{22}^\pm Y_{I\!I}^\pm = \mathcal{F}_{I\!I}^\pm - \sum_{j=0}^3 A_{21}^{\pm,j}\partial_{x_j}Y_I^\pm - C_{21}^\pm Y_I^\pm, \\ Y_{I\!I}^\pm|_{t\le 0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

where the linear operator $\sum_{j=0}^3 A_{22}^{\pm,j}\partial_{x_j} + C_{22}^\pm$ is symmetric hyperbolic and tangential to $\{x_1 = 0\}$.

Thus, from (4.8), we obtain

$$|\partial_{x_1} Y_I^\pm(t)|_{s-1,\mu} \le |\mathcal{F}_I^\pm(t)|_{s-1,\mu} + \|coef\|_{Lip(T)}|Y^\pm(t)|_{s,\mu} + \|Y^\pm\|_{Lip(T)}|\dot{coef}(t)|_{s,\mu}, \tag{4.10}$$

with $\|\cdot\|_{Lip(T)}$ denoting the usual norm in the space of Lipschitz continuous functions in Ω_T and, from (4.9), we deduce the following estimate on $\partial_{x_1}Y_{I\!I}^\pm$ by using the classical hyperbolic theory:

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{0\le t\le T} |\partial_{x_1}Y_{I\!I}^\pm(t)|^2_{s-2,\mu} + \mu|\partial_{x_1}Y_{I\!I}^\pm|^2_{s-2,\mu,T} \\ &\le \frac{C_0}{\mu}\Big(|\partial_{x_1}\mathcal{F}_{I\!I}^\pm|^2_{s-2,\mu,T} + \|coef\|^2_{Lip(T)}(|Y_I^\pm|^2_{s,\mu,T} + |\partial_{x_1}Y_I^\pm|^2_{s-1,\mu,T}) \\ &\qquad + (\|coef\|^*_T|Y_{I\!I}^\pm|^N_{s,\mu,T})^2 + (\|Y^\pm\|^*_T|\dot{coef}|^N_{s,\mu,T})^2\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$|u|^N_{s,\mu,T} = |u|_{s,\mu,T} + |u_{x_1}|_{s-2,\mu,T}.$$

Combining estimates (4.7) with (4.10)–(4.11), applying an inductive argument on the order of normal derivatives $\partial^k_{x_1}$, and noting that all the coefficient functions of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{E}^\pm$ in problem (4.4) depending on $(U^\pm, \nabla_{t,x}\Psi^\pm)$ and $(U^\pm, \nabla_{t,x}U^\pm, \{\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1}\nabla_{t,x_2,x_3}^{\alpha_2}\Psi^\pm\}_{\alpha_1\le 1, |\alpha_2|\le 2, \alpha_1+|\alpha_2|\le 2})$, respectively, we can conclude estimate (4.1) for the case of homogeneous boundary conditions by choosing $s_0 > 17/2$.

Step 2. With Step 1, for the case of nonhomogeneous boundary conditions in (3.10) with $(h_1^\pm, h_2^\pm, h_3) \in H^{s+1}([0,T]\times I\!R^2)$, it suffices to study (3.10) when $F^\pm = 0$.

By Lemma 4.1, there exists $X_0^\pm \in B^{s+2}(\Omega_T)$ satisfying

$$[X_{0,2} - \lambda X_{0,5}] = -[h_1 + \lambda h_2], \quad [X_{0,1}] = h_3 \qquad \text{on } \{x_1 = 0\}. \tag{4.12}$$

Thus, from (3.10), we know that $Y^{\pm} = X^{\pm} - X_0^{\pm}$ satisfies the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} L(U^{\pm}, \Psi^{\pm})Y^{\pm} + E(U^{\pm}, \Psi^{\pm})Y^{\pm} = f^{\pm} \qquad \text{in } \{x_1 > 0\}, \\ [Y_1] = [Y_2 - \lambda Y_5] = 0 \qquad \text{on } \{x_1 = 0\}, \\ Y^{\pm}|_{t \le 0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

with $f^{\pm} = -L(U^{\pm}, \Psi^{\pm})X_0^{\pm} - E(U^{\pm}, \Psi^{\pm})X_0^{\pm} \in B^s(\Omega_T)$.

Employing estimate (4.1) in the case $h = 0$ for problem (4.13) established in Step 1 yields an estimate on $Y^{\pm}$, which implies the estimates of $X^{\pm} = Y^{\pm} + X_0^{\pm}$. Combining this estimate with that for the case of homogeneous boundary conditions yields (4.1) for the general case.

By choosing $\mu \gg 1$ and T so that $\mu T \le 1$ in (4.1), we can directly conclude

Theorem 4.2. *For any fixed $s_0 > 17/2$, there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ depending only on $\|\dot{coef}\|_{s_0,T}$ such that, for any $s \ge s_0$, the estimate*

$$\|X^{\pm}\|_{s,T}^2 \le C_0\big(\|F^{\pm}\|_{s,T}^2 + \|h\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)}^2 + \|\dot{coef}\|_{s,T}^2(\|F^{\pm}\|_{s_0,T}^2 + \|h\|_{H^{s_0+1}(b\Omega_T)}^2)\big) \tag{4.14}$$

holds.

5. Nonlinear Stability I: Construction of The Zero-th Order Approximate Solutions

With the linear estimates in Section 4, we now establish the local existence of current-vortex sheets of problem (2.17)–(2.20) under the compatibility conditions on the initial data. From now on, we focus on the initial data $(U_0^{\pm}, \psi_0)$ that is a small perturbation of a planar current-vortex sheet $(\bar{U}^{\pm}, \bar{\psi})$ for constant states $\bar{U}^{\pm}$ and $\bar{\psi} = 0$ satisfying (2.3)–(2.5).

We start with *the compatibility conditions on* $\{t = x_1 = 0\}$. For fixed $k \in I\!N$, it is easy to formulate the j^{th}–order compatibility conditions, $0 \le j \le k$, for the initial data $(U_0^{\pm}, \psi_0)$ of problem (2.17)–(2.20), from which we determine the data:

$$\{\partial_t^j U^{\pm}|_{t=x_1=0}\}_{0\le j\le k} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\partial_t^j \psi|_{t=0}\}_{0\le j\le k+1}. \tag{5.1}$$

For any fixed integer $s > 9/2$ and given data $\psi_0 \in H^{s-1}(I\!R^2)$ and $U_0^{\pm}$ with

$$\dot{U}_0^{\pm} = U_0^{\pm} - \bar{U}^{\pm} \in B^s(I\!R^3_+),$$

by using Lemma 4.1, we can extend ψ_0 to be $\dot{\Psi}_0^{\pm} \in B^s(I\!R^3_+)$ satisfying

$$U_{0,5}^{\pm} - \partial_{x_2}\dot{\Psi}_0^{\pm} U_{0,6}^{\pm} - \partial_{x_3}\dot{\Psi}_0^{\pm} U_{0,7}^{\pm} = 0$$

which is possible by using assumption (2.4). Let $\Psi_0^{\pm} = \dot{\Psi}_0^{\pm} \pm x_1$ be the initial data for solving $\Psi^{\pm}$ from the eikonal equations (2.17).

Let $U_j^{\pm}(x) = \partial_t^j U^{\pm}|_{t=0}$ and $\Psi_j^{\pm} = \partial_t^j \Psi^{\pm}|_{t=0}$ for any $j \ge 0$.

For all $k \le [s/2]$, from problem (2.17)–(2.20), we determine

$$\{U_j^{\pm}\}_{1\le j\le k} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\Psi_j^{\pm}\}_{0\le j\le k+1} \tag{5.2}$$

in terms of $(U_0^{\pm}, \Psi_0^{\pm})$ and

$$\begin{cases} U_j^{\pm} \in B^{s-2j}(I\!R^3_+), \quad 1 \le j \le k, \\ \Psi_1^{\pm} \in B^{s-1}(I\!R^3_+), \quad \Psi_j^{\pm} \in B^{s-2(j-1)}(I\!R^3_+), \ 2 \le j \le k+1. \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

Furthermore, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^{[s/2]} \|U_j^{\pm}\|_{B^{s-2j}(I\!R^3_+)} + \sum_{j=2}^{[s/2]+1} \|\Psi_j^{\pm}\|_{B^{s-2j+2}(I\!R^3_+)} + \|\Psi_1^{\pm}\|_{B^{s-1}(I\!R^3_+)} \\ &\le C_0(\|\dot{U}_0^{\pm}\|_{B^s(I\!R^3_+)} + \|\psi_0\|_{H^{s-1}(I\!R^2)}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

with C_0 depending only on s, $\|\dot{U}_0^{\pm}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(I\!R^3_+)}$, and $\|\psi_0\|_{W^{1,\infty}(I\!R^2)}$.

Set $s_1 = [s/2]+1$. We now construct the *zero-th order approximate solutions* $(U_a^\pm, \Psi_a^\pm)$. First, we construct

$$\dot{\Psi}_a^\pm \in B^{s_1+1}(I\!R_+ \times I\!R_+^3) \tag{5.5}$$

satisfying $\partial_t^j \dot{\Psi}_a^\pm|_{t=0} = \dot{\Psi}_j^\pm, 0 \le j \le s_1$, and $\dot{\Psi}_a^+|_{x_1=0} = \dot{\Psi}_a^-|_{x_1=0}$, and

$$\dot{U}_{a,l}^\pm \in B^{s_1}(I\!R_+ \times I\!R_+^3), \quad l \neq 2, \tag{5.6}$$

satisfying $\partial_t^j \dot{U}_{a,l}^\pm|_{t=0} = \dot{U}_{j,l}^\pm, 0 \le j \le s_1 - 1$.

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{cases} U_{a,5}^\pm - \partial_{x_2}\Psi_a^\pm U_{a,6}^\pm - \partial_{x_3}\Psi_a^\pm U_{a,7}^\pm = 0 \qquad \text{in } \{x_1>0\}, \\ [U_{a,1} + \frac{1}{2}|U_{a,H}|^2] = 0 \qquad \text{on } \{x_1 = 0\}, \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

where $U_a^\pm = \bar{U}^\pm + \dot{U}_a^\pm$, $\Psi_a^\pm = \dot{\Psi}_a^\pm \pm x_1$, and $U_{a,H} = (U_{a,5}, U_{a,6}, U_{a,7})^\top$.

Finally, we construct $U_{a,2}^\pm \in B^{s_1}(I\!R_+ \times I\!R_+^3)$ by requiring

$$\partial_t \Psi_a^\pm - U_{a,2}^\pm + \partial_{x_2}\Psi_a^\pm U_{a,3}^\pm + \partial_{x_3}\Psi_a^\pm U_{a,4}^\pm = 0 \qquad \text{in } \{x_1 > 0\}. \tag{5.8}$$

Therefore, we conclude

Lemma 5.1. *For any fixed integer $s > 9/2$, assume that the initial data $(\dot{U}_0^\pm, \psi_0) = (U_0^\pm - \bar{U}^\pm, \psi_0) \in B^s(I\!R_+^3) \times H^{s-1}(I\!R^2)$ is bounded in the norms. Then there exists $(U_a^\pm, \Psi_a^\pm)$ such that $\dot{U}_a^\pm = U_a^\pm - \bar{U}^\pm \in B^{[s/2]+1}(I\!R_+ \times I\!R_+^3)$, $\dot{\Psi}_a^\pm = \Psi_a^\pm \mp x_1 \in B^{[s/2]+2}(I\!R_+ \times I\!R_+^3)$,*

$$\partial_t^j(L(U_a^\pm, \Psi_a^\pm)U_a^\pm))|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \textit{for } 0 \le j \le [s/2]-1, \tag{5.9}$$

and (5.7)–(5.8) *hold.*

With this, we set $\psi_a = \Psi_a^\pm|_{x_1=0}$. From the compatibility conditions of problem (2.17)–(2.20) and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\partial_t^j(B(U_a^+, U_a^-, \psi_a))|_{t=0} = 0 \qquad \text{for } 0 \le j \le [s/2]. \tag{5.10}$$

Set

$$V^\pm = U^\pm - U_a^\pm, \qquad \Phi^\pm = \Psi^\pm - \Psi_a^\pm. \tag{5.11}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 5.1 and (5.10) that problem (2.17)–(2.20) is equivalent to the following **fixed initial-boundary value problem for** $(V^\pm, \Phi^\pm)$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(V^\pm, \Phi^\pm)V^\pm = f_a^\pm \qquad \text{in } \{t>0,\ x_1>0\}, \\ \mathcal{E}(V^\pm, \Phi^\pm) = 0 \qquad \text{in } \{t>0,\ x_1>0\}, \\ \Phi^+|_{x_1=0} = \Phi^-|_{x_1=0} = \phi, \\ \mathcal{B}(V^+, V^-, \phi) = 0 \qquad \text{on } \{x_1=0\}, \\ V^\pm|_{t\le 0} = 0, \quad \Phi^\pm|_{t \le 0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.12}$$

where $f_a^\pm = -L(U_a^\pm, \Psi_a^\pm)U_a^\pm$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}(V^\pm, \Phi^\pm)V^\pm = L(U_a^\pm + V^\pm, \Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^\pm)(U_a^\pm + V^\pm) - L(U_a^\pm, \Psi_a^\pm)U_a^\pm, \\ &\mathcal{E}(V^\pm, \Phi^\pm) = \partial_t \Phi^\pm - V_2^\pm + \partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^\pm)V_3^\pm + \partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^\pm)V_4^\pm + U_{a,3}^\pm \partial_{x_2}\Phi^\pm + U_{a,4}^\pm \partial_{x_3}\Phi^\pm, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}(V^+, V^-, \phi) = B(U_a^+ + V^+, U_a^- + V^-, \psi_a + \phi).$$

6. NONLINEAR STABILITY II: ITERATION SCHEME

From Theorem 4.1, we observe that the high-order energy estimates of solutions for the linearized problem (3.10)–(3.11) in terms of the nonhomogeneous terms $F^{\pm}$ and variable coefficients $\dot{coef}$ keep the same order. Based on these estimates and the structure of the nonlinear system, we now develop a suitable iteration scheme of Nash-Moser-Hörmander type (cf. [16]) for our **nonlinear problem (5.12)**.

To do this, we first recall a standard family of smoothing operators (cf. [2, 9]):

$$\{S_\theta\}_{\theta>0}: \ B^0_\mu(\Omega_T) \longrightarrow \cap_{s\geq 0} B^s_\mu(\Omega_T) \tag{6.1}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \|S_\theta u\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta^{(s-\alpha)_+}\|u\|_{\alpha,T} \quad \text{for all } s,\alpha\geq 0,\\ \|S_\theta u - u\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta^{s-\alpha}\|u\|_{\alpha,T} \quad \text{for all } s\in[0,\alpha],\\ \|\frac{d}{d\theta}S_\theta u\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta^{s-\alpha-1}\|u\|_{\alpha,T} \quad \text{for all } s,\alpha\geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$\|(S_\theta u_+ - S_\theta u_-)|_{x_1=0}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\theta^{(s+1-\alpha)_+}\|(u_+ - u_-)|_{x_1=0}\|_{\alpha,T} \qquad \text{for all } s,\alpha\geq 0. \tag{6.3}$$

Similarly, one has a family of smoothing operators, still denoted by $\{S_\theta\}_{\theta>0}$ acting on $H^s(b\Omega_T)$, satisfying (6.2) as well for the norms of $H^s(b\Omega_T)$ (cf. [2, 9]).

Now we construct the iteration scheme for solving the nonlinear problem (5.12) in $I\!R_+\times I\!R^3$.

THE ITERATION SCHEME: Let $V^{\pm,0}=\Phi^{\pm,0}=0$. Assume that $(V^{\pm,k},\Phi^{\pm,k})$ have been known for $k=0,\dots,n$, and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (V^{\pm,k},\Phi^{\pm,k})=0 \qquad \text{in } \{t\leq 0\},\\ \Phi^{+,k}|_{x_1=0}=\Phi^{-,k}|_{x_1=0}=\phi^k \quad \text{on } \{x_1=0\}. \end{cases} \tag{6.4}$$

Denote the $(n+1)^{th}$ approximate solutions to (5.12) in $I\!R_+\times I\!R^3$ by

$$V^{\pm,n+1}=V^{\pm,n}+\delta V^{\pm,n}, \quad \Phi^{\pm,n+1}=\Phi^{\pm,n}+\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}, \quad \phi^{n+1}=\phi^n+\delta\phi^n. \tag{6.5}$$

Let $\theta_0\geq 1$ and $\theta_n=\sqrt{\theta_0^2+n}$ for any $n\geq 1$. Let S_{θ_n} be the associated smoothing operators defined as above. We now determine the problem of the increments $(\delta V^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n})$ as follows:

Construction of $\delta V^{\pm,n}$: First, it is easy to see

$$\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,n+1},\Phi^{\pm,n+1})V^{\pm,n+1}-\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,n},\Phi^{\pm,n})V^{\pm,n}=L'_{e,(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n+\frac12},\Psi_a^\pm+S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}\delta\dot V^{\pm,n}+e_{\pm,n}, \tag{6.6}$$

where $V^{\pm,n+\frac12}$ are the modified states of $S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n}$ defined in (6.24)–(6.25) later, which guarantees that the boundary $\{x_1=0\}$ is the uniform characteristic of constant multiplicity at each iteration step,

$$\begin{aligned} &L'_{e,(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n+\frac12},\Psi_a^\pm+S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}\delta\dot V^{\pm,n}\\ &=L(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n+\frac12},\Psi_a^\pm+S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})\delta\dot V^{\pm,n}+E(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n+\frac12},\Psi_a^\pm+S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})\delta\dot V^{\pm,n} \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

are the effective linear operators,

$$\delta\dot V^{\pm,n}=\delta V^{\pm,n}-\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}\frac{\partial_{x_1}(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n+\frac12})}{\partial_{x_1}(\Psi_a^\pm+S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})} \tag{6.8}$$

are the good unknowns, and

$$e_{\pm,n}=\sum_{j=1}^{4}e^{(j)}_{\pm,n} \tag{6.9}$$

are the total errors with the first error resulting from the Newton iteration scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{(1)}_{\pm,n}=&L(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n+1},\Psi_a^\pm+\Phi^{\pm,n+1})(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n+1})-L(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n},\Psi_a^\pm+\Phi^{\pm,n})(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n})\\ &-L'_{(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n},\Psi_a^\pm+\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}), \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

the second error resulting from the substitution:

$$e^{(2)}_{\pm,n}=L'_{(U_a^\pm+V^{\pm,n},\Psi_a^\pm+\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n})-L'_{(U_a^\pm+S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n},\Psi_a^\pm+S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}), \tag{6.11}$$

the third error resulting from the second substitution:

$$e^{(3)}_{\pm,n} = L'_{(U^\pm_a + S_{\theta_n} V^{\pm,n}, \Psi^\pm_a + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,n}) - L'_{(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n+\frac12}, \Psi^\pm_a + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,n}), \tag{6.12}$$

and the remaining error:

$$e^{(4)}_{\pm,n} = \frac{\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}}{\partial_{x_1}\big(\Psi^\pm_a + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n}\big)}\partial_{x_1}\big(L(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n+\frac12}, \Psi^\pm_a + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n+\frac12})\big). \tag{6.13}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mathcal{B}(V^{+,n+1}, V^{-,n+1}, \phi^{n+1}) - \mathcal{B}(V^{+,n}, V^{-,n}, \phi^n) = B'_{(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n+\frac12}, \psi_a + S_{\theta_n}\phi^n)}(\delta\dot V^{+,n}, \delta\dot V^{-,n}, \delta\phi^n) + \tilde e_n, \tag{6.14}$$

where

$$\tilde e_n = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \tilde e^{(j)}_n \tag{6.15}$$

with the first error resulting from the Newton iteration scheme:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde e^{(1)}_n =& B(U^+_a + V^{+,n+1}, U^-_a + V^{-,n+1}, \psi_a + \phi^{n+1}) - B(U^+_a + V^{+,n}, U^-_a + V^{-,n}, \psi_a + \phi^n)\\ &- B'_{(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n}, \psi_a + \phi^n)}(\delta V^{+,n}, \delta V^{-,n}, \delta\phi^n),\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

the second error resulting from the substitution:

$$\tilde e^{(2)}_n = B'_{(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n}, \psi_a + \phi^n)}(\delta V^{+,n}, \delta V^{-,n}, \delta\phi^n) - B'_{(U^\pm_a + S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n}, \psi_a + S_{\theta_n}\phi^n)}(\delta V^{+,n}, \delta V^{-,n}, \delta\phi^n), \tag{6.17}$$

the third errors resulting from the second substitution:

$$\tilde e^{(3)}_n = B'_{(U^\pm_a + S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n}, \psi_a + S_{\theta_n}\phi^n)}(\delta V^{+,n}, \delta V^{-,n}, \delta\phi^n) - B'_{(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n+\frac12}, \psi_a + S_{\theta_n}\phi^n)}(\delta V^{+,n}, \delta V^{-,n}, \delta\phi^n), \tag{6.18}$$

and the remaining error:

$$\tilde e^{(4)}_n = B'_{(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n+\frac12}, \psi_a + S_{\theta_n}\phi^n)}(\delta V^{+,n}, \delta V^{-,n}, \delta\phi^n) - B'_{(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n+\frac12}, \psi_a + S_{\theta_n}\phi^n)}(\delta\dot V^{+,n}, \delta\dot V^{-,n}, \delta\phi^n). \tag{6.19}$$

Using (6.6) and (6.14) and noting that

$$\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,0}, \Phi^{\pm,0})V^{\pm,0} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{B}(V^{+,0}, V^{-,0}, \phi^0) = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,n+1}, \Phi^{\pm,n+1})V^{\pm,n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^n \big(L'_{e,(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,j+\frac12}, \Psi^\pm_a + S_{\theta_j}\Phi^{\pm,j})}\delta\dot V^{\pm,j} + e_{\pm,j}\big),\\ \mathcal{B}(V^{+,n+1}, V^{-,n+1}, \phi^{n+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \big(B'_{(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,j+\frac12}, \psi_a + S_{\theta_j}\phi^j)}(\delta\dot V^{+,j}, \delta\dot V^{-,j}, \delta\phi^j) + \tilde e_j\big).\end{cases} \tag{6.20}$$

Observe that, if the limit of $(V^{\pm,n}, \Phi^{\pm,n})$ exists which is expected to be a solution to problem (5.12), then the left-hand side in the first equation of (6.20) should converge to $f^\pm_a$, and the left-hand side in the second one of (6.20) goes to zero when $n \to \infty$. Thus, from (6.20), it suffices to study the following problem:

$$\begin{cases}L'_{e,(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n+\frac12}, \Psi^\pm_a + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}\delta\dot V^{\pm,n} = f^\pm_n \qquad \text{in } \Omega_T,\\ B'_{(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,n+\frac12}, \psi_a + S_{\theta_n}\phi^n)}(\delta\dot V^{+,n}, \delta\dot V^{-,n}, \delta\phi^n) = g_n \qquad \text{on } b\Omega_T,\\ \delta\dot V^{\pm,n}|_{t\le 0} = 0,\end{cases} \tag{6.21}$$

where $f^\pm_n$ and g_n are defined by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} f^\pm_j + S_{\theta_n}\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e_{\pm,j}\Big) = S_{\theta_n} f^\pm_a, \qquad \sum_{j=0}^{n} g_j + S_{\theta_n}\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\tilde e_j\Big) = 0, \tag{6.22}$$

by induction on n, with $f^\pm_0 = S_{\theta_0} f^\pm_a$ and $g_0 = 0$.

We now define the modified state $V^{\pm,n+\frac12}$ to guarantee that the boundary $\{x_1 = 0\}$ is the uniform characteristic of constant multiplicity at each iteration step (6.21). To achieve this, we require

$$(\mathcal{B}(V^{+,n+\frac12}, V^{-,n+\frac12}, S_{\theta_n}\phi^n))^\pm_i|_{x_1=0} = 0 \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \text{ and all } n \in I\!N, \tag{6.23}$$

which leads to define

$$V_j^{+,n+\frac{1}{2}} = S_{\theta_n} V_j^{\pm,n} \qquad \text{for } j \in \{3,4,6,7\}, \tag{6.24}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} V_2^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} = \partial_t(S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n}) + \partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})V_3^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})V_4^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \qquad\qquad +U_{a,3}^\pm \partial_{x_2}(S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n}) + U_{a,4}^\pm \partial_{x_3}(S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n}), \\ V_5^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} = \partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})V_6^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})V_7^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \qquad\qquad +U_{a,6}^\pm \partial_{x_2}(S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n}) + U_{a,7}^\pm \partial_{x_3}(S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n}). \end{cases} \tag{6.25}$$

Construction of $\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}$ *satisfying* $\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}|_{x_1=0} = \delta\phi^n$. Clearly, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(V^{\pm,n+1},\Phi^{\pm,n+1}) - \mathcal{E}(V^{\pm,n},\Phi^{\pm,n}) = \mathcal{E}'_{(V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}},S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}) + \bar{e}_{\pm,n}, \tag{6.26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'_{(V^\pm,\Phi^\pm)}(W^\pm,\Theta^\pm) =& \partial_t\Theta^\pm - W_2^\pm + \partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^\pm)W_3^\pm + \partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^\pm)W_4^\pm \\ &+ (U_{a,3}^\pm + V_3^\pm)\partial_{x_2}\Theta^\pm + (U_{a,4}^\pm + V_4^\pm)\partial_{x_3}\Theta^\pm \end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

is the linearized operator of $\mathcal{E}$ and

$$\bar{e}_{\pm,n} = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \bar{e}_{\pm,n}^{(j)} \tag{6.28}$$

with the first error resulting from the Newton iteration scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}_{\pm,n}^{(1)} &= \mathcal{E}(V^{\pm,n+1},\Phi^{\pm,n+1}) - \mathcal{E}(V^{\pm,n},\Phi^{\pm,n}) - \mathcal{E}'_{(V^{\pm,n},\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}) \\ &= \partial_{x_2}(\delta\Phi^{\pm,n})\delta V_3^{\pm,n} + \partial_{x_3}(\delta\Phi^{\pm,n})\delta V_4^{\pm,n}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

the second error resulting from the substitution:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}_{\pm,n}^{(2)} =& \mathcal{E}'_{(V^{\pm,n},\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}) - \mathcal{E}'_{(S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n},S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}) \\ =& \partial_{x_2}((I-S_{\theta_n})\Phi^{\pm,n})\delta V_3^{\pm,n} + \partial_{x_3}((I-S_{\theta_n})\Phi^{\pm,n})\delta V_4^{\pm,n} \\ &+ (I-S_{\theta_n})V_3^{\pm,n}\partial_{x_2}(\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}) + (I-S_{\theta_n})V_4^{\pm,n}\partial_{x_3}(\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}), \end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

the third error resulting from the second substitution:

$$\bar{e}_{\pm,n}^{(3)} = \mathcal{E}'_{(S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n},S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}) - \mathcal{E}'_{(V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}},S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}) \tag{6.31}$$

which vanishes due to (6.24) and that all the coefficients of the linearized operator $\mathcal{E}'_{(V^\pm,\Phi^\pm)}$ are independent of $(V_2^\pm, V_5^\pm)$, and the remaining error:

$$\bar{e}_{\pm,n}^{(4)} = \mathcal{E}'_{(V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}},S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta V^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}) - \mathcal{E}'_{(V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}},S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}). \tag{6.32}$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(V^{\pm,n+1},\Phi^{\pm,n+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n}(\mathcal{E}'_{(V^{\pm,j+\frac{1}{2}},S_{\theta_j}\Phi^{\pm,j})}(\delta V^{\pm,j},\delta\Phi^{\pm,j}) + \bar{e}_{\pm,j}) \tag{6.33}$$

which leads to define $\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}$ that is governed by the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}'_{(V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}},S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}(\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,n},\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}) = h_n^\pm \qquad \text{on } \Omega_T, \\ \delta\Phi^{\pm,n}|_{t\le 0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{6.34}$$

where $h_n^\pm$ are defined by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} h_k^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\bar{e}_{\pm,k}\Big) = 0 \tag{6.35}$$

by induction on n starting with $h_0^\pm = 0$.

By comparing (6.34) with (6.21), it is easy to verify

$$\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}|_{x_1=0} = \delta\phi^n. \tag{6.36}$$

From (5.9)–(5.10) and (6.34), we know that the compatibility conditions hold for the initial-boundary value problem (6.21) for all $n \geq 0$.

The steps for determining $(\delta V^{\pm,n}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,n})$ are to solve first $\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,n}$ from (6.21) and then $\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}$ from (6.34). Finally, $\delta V^{\pm,n}$ can be obtained from (6.8).

7. Nonlinear Stability III: Convergence of the Iteration Scheme and Existence of the Current-Vortex Sheet

Fix any $s_0 \geq 9$, $\alpha \geq s_0 + 5$, and $s_1 \in [\alpha + 5, 2\alpha - s_0]$. Let the zero-th order approximate solutions for the initial data $(U_0^{\pm}, \psi_0)$ constructed in §5 satisfy

$$\|\dot{U}_a^{\pm}\|_{s_1+3,T} + \|\dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm}\|_{s_1+3,T} + \|f_a^{\pm}\|_{s_1-4,T} \leq \delta, \qquad \|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T}/\delta \quad \text{is small} \tag{7.1}$$

for some small constant $\delta > 0$.

Before we prove the convergence of the iteration scheme (6.21) and (6.34), we first introduce the following lemmas.

Lemma 7.1. *For any $k \in [0, n]$,*

$$\begin{cases} \|(V^{\pm,k}, \Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s,T} + \|\phi^k\|_{H^{s-1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta\, \theta_k^{(s-\alpha)_+} \quad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1],\ s \neq \alpha, \\ \|(V^{\pm,k}, \Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{\alpha,T} + \|\phi^k\|_{H^{\alpha-1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta \log\theta_k, \\ \|(S_{\theta_k}V^{\pm,k}, S_{\theta_k}\Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s,T} + \|S_{\theta_k}\phi^k\|_{H^{s-1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta\, \theta_k^{(s-\alpha)_+} \quad \text{for } s \geq s_0, s \neq \alpha, \\ \|(S_{\theta_k}V^{\pm,k}, S_{\theta_k}\Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{\alpha,T} + \|S_{\theta_k}\phi^k\|_{H^{\alpha-1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta \log\theta_k, \\ \|((I - S_{\theta_k})V^{\pm,k}, (I - S_{\theta_k})\Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s,T} + \|(I - S_{\theta_k})\phi^k\|_{H^{s-1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta\, \theta_k^{s-\alpha} \quad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1]. \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

These results can be easily obtained by using the triangle inequality, the classical comparison between series and integrals, and the properties in (6.2) of the smoothing operators S_θ.

Lemma 7.2. *If $4 \leq s_0 \leq \alpha$ and $\alpha \geq 5$, then, for the modified state $V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}}$, we have*

$$\|V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} - S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta\theta_n^{s+1-\alpha} \qquad \textit{for any } s \in [4, s_1 + 2]. \tag{7.3}$$

Lemma 7.3. *Let $s_0 \geq 5$ and $\alpha \geq s_0 + 3$. For all $k \leq n - 1$, we have*

$$\begin{cases} \|e_{\pm,k}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{L^1(s)}\Delta_k & \textit{for } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 4], \\ \|\bar{e}_{\pm,k}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{L^2(s)}\Delta_k & \textit{for } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 3], \\ \|\tilde{e}_k\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{L^3(s)}\Delta_k & \textit{for } s \in [s_0 - 1, s_1 - 4], \end{cases} \tag{7.4}$$

where

$$L^1(s) = \begin{cases} \max((s + 2 - \alpha)_+ + s_0 - \alpha - 1, s + s_0 + 4 - 2\alpha) & \text{if} \quad \alpha \neq s + 2, s + 4, \\ \max(s_0 - \alpha, 2(s_0 - \alpha) + 4) & \text{if} \quad \alpha = s + 4, \\ s_0 + 2 - \alpha & \text{if} \quad \alpha = s + 2, \end{cases}$$

$$L^2(s) = \begin{cases} \max((s + 2 - \alpha)_+ + 2(s_0 - \alpha), s + s_0 + 2 - 2\alpha) & \text{if} \quad \alpha \neq s + 2, s + 3, \\ s_0 - \alpha - 1 & \text{if} \quad \alpha = s + 3, \\ s_0 - \alpha & \text{if} \quad \alpha = s + 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$L^3(s) = \begin{cases} \max((s + 3 - \alpha)_+ + 2(s_0 - \alpha), s + s_0 + 3 - 2\alpha) & \text{if} \quad \alpha \neq s + 3, s + 4, \\ s_0 - \alpha - 1 & \text{if} \quad \alpha = s + 4, \\ s_0 - \alpha & \text{if} \quad \alpha = s + 3. \end{cases}$$

Denote the accumulated errors by

$$E_{\pm,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_{\pm,k}, \quad \tilde{E}_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{e}_k, \quad \bar{E}_{\pm,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{e}_{\pm,k}. \tag{7.5}$$

Then, as a corollary of Lemma 7.3, we have

Lemma 7.4. *Let* $s_0 \geq 5$, $\alpha \geq s_0 + 3$, *and* $s_1 \leq 2\alpha - s_0 - 1$. *Then*

$$\begin{cases} \|E_{\pm,n}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 4], \\ \|\bar{E}_{\pm,n}\|_{s,T} \leq \delta^2 \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 3], \\ \|\tilde{E}_n\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0 - 1, s_1 - 4]. \end{cases} \tag{7.6}$$

Lemma 7.5. *For any* $s_0 \geq 5$, $\alpha \geq s_0 + 5$, *and* $s_1 \in [\alpha + 5, 2\alpha - s_0 - 1]$, *we have*

$$\begin{cases} \|f_n^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq C\Delta_n\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}(\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha,T} + \delta^2), \\ \|g_n\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\Delta_n\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}, \\ \|h_n^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\Delta_n\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1} \end{cases} \tag{7.7}$$

for all $s \geq s_0$.

The proofs of Lemmas 7.2–7.3 and 7.5 will be given in Section 8. With these lemmas, we can now prove the following key result for the convergence of the iteration scheme.

Proposition 7.1. *For the solution sequence* $(\delta V^{\pm k}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,k}, \delta\phi^k)$ *given by* (6.21) *and* (6.34), *we have*

$$\begin{cases} \|(\delta V^{\pm,k}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s,T} + \|\delta\phi^k\|_{H^{s-1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq \delta\theta_k^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_k \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1], \\ \|\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,k+1}, \Phi^{\pm,k+1})V^{\pm,k+1} - f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq 2\delta\theta_{k+1}^{s-\alpha-1} \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 4], \\ \|\mathcal{B}(V^{+,k+1}, V^{-,k+1}, \phi^{k+1})\|_{H^{s-1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq \delta\theta_{k+1}^{s-\alpha-1} \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 2] \end{cases} \tag{7.8}$$

for any $k \geq 0$, *where* $\Delta_k = \theta_{k+1} - \theta_k$.

Proof: Estimate (7.8) is proved by induction on $k \geq 0$.

Step 1. Verification of (7.8) *for* $k = 0$. We first notice from (5.7)–(5.8) that $(U_a^{\pm}, \Psi_a^{\pm})$ satisfies the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions, and $V^{\pm,0} = \Phi^{\pm,0} = 0$ imply that $V^{\pm,\frac{1}{2}} = 0$. Thus, $\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,0}$ satisfies the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} L'_{e,(U_a^{\pm},\Psi_a^{\pm})}\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,0} = S_{\theta_0}f_a^{\pm} \qquad \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ B'_{(U_a^{\pm},\psi_a)}(\delta\dot{V}^{+,0}, \delta\dot{V}^{-,0}, \delta\phi^0) = 0 \qquad \text{on } b\Omega_T, \\ \delta\dot{V}^{\pm,0}|_{t\leq 0} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{7.9}$$

Applying Theorem 4.2 to problem (7.9), we have

$$\|\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,0}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_0^{(s-\alpha-1)_+}\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T} \qquad \text{for all } s \in [s_0, s_1]. \tag{7.10}$$

Similarly, from (6.34), we obtain

$$\|\delta\Phi^{\pm,0}\|_{s,T} \leq C\left(\|\tilde{h}_0^{\pm}\|_{s,T} + \|\dot{U}_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T}\|\tilde{h}_0^{\pm}\|_{s_0,T}\right), \tag{7.11}$$

where $\tilde{h}_0^{\pm} = \delta\dot{V_2}^{\pm,0} - \partial_{x_2}\Psi_a^{\pm}\delta\dot{V_3}^{\pm,0} - \partial_{x_3}\Psi_a^{\pm}\delta\dot{V_4}^{\pm,0}$ satisfies

$$\|\tilde{h}_0^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq C\|\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,0}\|_{s,T} \leq C_0\theta_0^{(s-\alpha-1)_+}\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T}. \tag{7.12}$$

Thus, we have

$$\|\delta\Phi^{\pm,0}\|_{s,T} \leq C_1\theta_0^{(s-\alpha-1)_+}\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T}. \tag{7.13}$$

Since

$$\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,0} = \delta V^{\pm,0} - \delta\Phi^{\pm,0}\frac{\partial_{x_1}\dot{U}_a^{\pm}}{\partial_{x_1}\Psi_a^{\pm}},$$

we use (7.10) and (7.13) to find

$$\|\delta V^{\pm,0}\|_{s,T} \leq C_2\theta_0^{(s-\alpha-1)_+}\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T}. \tag{7.14}$$

From (7.13)–(7.14), we deduce

$$\|(\delta V^{\pm,0}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,0})\|_{s,T} \leq \delta\theta_0^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_0 \qquad \text{for any } s \in [s_0, s_1], \tag{7.15}$$

provided that $\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T}/\delta$ is small.

Obviously, one has

$$\|\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,1}, \Phi^{\pm,1})V^{\pm,1} - f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq \|(S_{\theta_0} - I)f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} + \|e_{\pm,0}\|_{s,T}, \tag{7.16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\pm,0} = \quad & L(U_a^{\pm} + V^{\pm,1}, \Psi_a^{\pm} + \Phi^{\pm,1})(U_a^{\pm} + V^{\pm,1}) - L(U_a^{\pm}, \Psi_a^{\pm})U_a^{\pm} \\ & -L'_{(U_a^{\pm}, \Psi_a^{\pm})}(\delta V^{\pm,0}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,0}) - \tfrac{\delta\Phi^{\pm,0}}{\partial_{x_1}\Psi_a^{\pm}}\partial_{x_1} f_a^{\pm} \end{aligned}$$

satisfies

$$\|e_{\pm,0}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_0^{(s-\alpha-1)_+}\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T} \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 2]. \tag{7.17}$$

Using the property of S_{θ_0}, we have

$$\|(S_{\theta_0} - I)f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_0^{s-\alpha-1}\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T} \qquad \text{for } s \leq \alpha + 1,$$

and

$$\|(S_{\theta_0} - I)f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_0^{s-s_1}\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{s_1,T} \qquad \text{for } s \in [\alpha + 1, s_1].$$

Thus, from (7.16), we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,1}, \Phi^{\pm,1})V^{\pm,1} - f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq 2\delta\theta_1^{s-\alpha-1} \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 2], \tag{7.18}$$

when $\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T}/\delta$ is small and $\theta_0 > 0$ is properly large.

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\|\mathcal{B}(V^{+,1}, V^{-,1}, \phi^1)\|_{H^{s-1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq \delta\theta_1^{s-\alpha-1} \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 2].$$

Step 2. Suppose that (7.8) *holds for all* $k \leq n - 1$, *we now verify* (7.8) *for* $k = n$. First, we note that, when $\alpha \geq s_0 + 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\dot{U}_a^{\pm} + V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})\|_{s_0+2,T} \\ & \leq \|(\dot{U}_a^{\pm}, \dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm})\|_{s_0+2,T} + \|V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} - S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n}\|_{s_0+2,T} + \|(S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n}, S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})\|_{s_0+2,T} \\ & \leq C\delta(1 + \theta_n^{s_0+3-\alpha} + \theta_n^{(s_0+2-\alpha)_+}) \leq C\delta, \end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

by using assumption (7.1) and Lemmas 7.1–7.2. Applying Theorem 4.2 to problem (6.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T} & \leq C\big(\|f_n^{\pm}\|_{s,T} + \|g_n\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)} + \|\dot{coef}\|_{s,T}(\|f_n^{\pm}\|_{s_0,T} + \|g_n\|_{H^{s_0+1}(b\Omega_T)})\big) \\ & \leq C\big(\|f_n^{\pm}\|_{s,T} + \|g_n\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)} + \|(\dot{U}_a^{\pm} + V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})\|_{s+2,T}(\|f_n^{\pm}\|_{s_0,T} + \|g_n\|_{H^{s_0+1}(b\Omega_T)})\big). \end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

On the other hand, similar to (7.19), from assumption (7.1) and Lemmas 7.1–7.2, we have

$$\|(\dot{U}_a^{\pm} + V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})\|_{s+2,T} \leq C\delta\big(1 + \theta_n^{s+3-\alpha} + \theta_n^{(s+2-\alpha)_+}\big), \tag{7.21}$$

which implies

$$\|(\dot{U}_a^{\pm} + V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})\|_{s+2,T}(\|f_n^{\pm}\|_{s_0,T} + \|g_n\|_{H^{s_0+1}(b\Omega_T)}) \leq C\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n(\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha,T} + \delta^2) \tag{7.22}$$

for all $s \in [s_0, s_1]$ by using $\alpha \geq s_0 + 3$ and (7.7).

Thus, from (7.20), we conclude

$$\|\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n(\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha,T} + \delta^2) \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1]. \tag{7.23}$$

For problem (6.34), we can easily obtain the following estimate:

$$\|\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T} \leq C(\|\tilde{h}_n^{\pm}\|_{s,T} + \|\dot{U}_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T}\|\tilde{h}_n^{\pm}\|_{s_0,T}) \qquad \text{for any } s \geq s_0, \tag{7.24}$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on $\|\dot{U}_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}V^{\pm,n}\|_{s_0,T}$, and

$$\tilde{h}_n^{\pm} = h_n^{\pm} + \delta\dot{V}_2^{\pm,n} - \partial_{x_2}(\dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})\delta\dot{V}_3^{\pm,n} - \partial_{x_3}(\dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})\delta\dot{V}_4^{\pm,n}. \tag{7.25}$$

Obviously, we have

$$\|\tilde{h}_n^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq \|h_n^{\pm}\|_{s,T} + (1 + \|\dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n}\|_{s_0,T})\|\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T} + \|\dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n}\|_{s+1,T}\|\delta\dot{V}^{\pm,n}\|_{s_0,T},$$

which implies

$$\|\tilde{h}_n^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \le C\Delta_n \theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}(\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha,T} + \delta^2) \tag{7.26}$$

by using (7.23) and Lemmas 7.1 and 7.5.

Substituting (7.26) into (7.24), we find

$$\|\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T} \le C\Delta_n \theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}(\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha,T} + \delta^2) \qquad \text{for all } s \in [s_0, s_1]. \tag{7.27}$$

Together (7.23) with (7.27), we obtain (7.8) for $(\delta V^{\pm,n}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,n})$ by using

$$\delta V^{\pm,n} = \delta\dot{V}^{\pm,n} + \frac{\partial_{x_1}(U_a^{\pm} + V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}})}{\partial_{x_1}(\Psi_a^{\pm} + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})}\delta\Phi^{\pm,n}$$

and choosing $\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha,T}/\delta$ and $\delta > 0$ to be small.

Finally, we verify the other inequalities in (7.8). First, from (6.21)–(6.22), we have

$$\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,n}, \Phi^{\pm,n})V^{\pm,n} - f_a^{\pm} = (S_{\theta_{n-1}} - I)f_a^{\pm} + (I - S_{\theta_{n-1}})E_{\pm,n-1} + e_{\pm,n-1}. \tag{7.28}$$

From Lemma 7.4, we have

$$\|(I - S_{\theta_{n-1}})E_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \le C\theta_n^{s-\tilde{s}}\|E_{\pm,n-1}\|_{\tilde{s},T} \le C\theta_n^{s-\tilde{s}+1}\delta^2 \le C\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\delta^2 \tag{7.29}$$

by choosing $\tilde{s} = \alpha + 2$.

From Lemma 7.3, we obtain

$$\|e_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \le C\theta_n^{L^1(s)}\delta^2 \le C\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\delta^2 \qquad \text{for all } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 4], \tag{7.30}$$

by using $\alpha \ge s_0 + 5$.

When $s \le \alpha + 1$, we have

$$\|(S_{\theta_{n-1}} - I)f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \le C\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T}; \tag{7.31}$$

while $s \in (\alpha + 1, s_1 - 4]$, we have

$$\|(S_{\theta_{n-1}} - I)f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \le \|S_{\theta_{n-1}}f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} + \|f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \le C\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T} + \delta \tag{7.32}$$

by using (6.2) and (7.1).

Substitution (7.29)–(7.32) into (7.28) yields

$$\|\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,n}, \Phi^{\pm,n})V^{\pm,n} - f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \le 2\delta\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1} \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1 - 4],$$

provided that $\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{s_1-4,T} \le \delta$ and $\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha+1,T}/\delta$ is small.

Similarly, we have

$$\|\mathcal{B}(V^{+,n}, V^{-,n}, \phi^n)\|_{H^{s-1}(b\Omega_T)} \le \delta\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}. \tag{7.33}$$

Thus, we obtain (7.8) for $k = n$.

Convergence of the Iteration Scheme: From Proposition 7.1, we have

$$\sum_{n\ge 0}\big(\|(\delta V^{\pm,n}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,n})\|_{\alpha,T} + \|\delta\phi^n\|_{H^{\alpha-1}(b\Omega_T)}\big) < \infty, \tag{7.34}$$

which implies that there exists $(V^{\pm}, \Phi^{\pm}) \in B^{\alpha}(\Omega_T)$ with $\phi \in H^{\alpha-1}(b\Omega_T)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (V^{\pm,n}, \Phi^{\pm,n}) \longrightarrow (V^{\pm}, \Phi^{\pm}) \qquad \text{in } B^{\alpha}(\Omega_T) \times B^{\alpha}(\Omega_T), \\ \phi^n \longrightarrow \phi \quad \text{in} \quad H^{\alpha-1}(b\Omega_T). \end{cases} \tag{7.35}$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\alpha \ge 14$ and $s_1 \in [\alpha + 5, 2\alpha - 9]$. Let $\psi_0 \in H^{2s_1+3}(I\!R^2)$ and $U_0^{\pm} - \bar{U}^{\pm} \in B^{2(s_1+2)}(I\!R_+^3)$ satisfy the compatibility conditions of problem* (2.17)–(2.20) *up to order $s_1 + 2$, and let conditions* (2.3)–(2.4) *and* (7.1) *be satisfied. Then there exists a solution $(V^{\pm}, \Phi^{\pm}) \in B^{\alpha}(\Omega_T)$ with $\phi \in H^{\alpha-1}(b\Omega_T)$ to problem* (5.12).

Then Theorem 2.1 (Main Theorem) in Section 2 directly follows from Theorem 7.1.

8. ERROR ESTIMATES: PROOFS OF LEMMAS 7.2–7.3 AND 7.5

In this section, we study the error estimates for the iteration scheme (6.21)–(6.22) and (6.34)–(6.35) to provide the proofs for Lemmas 7.2–7.3 and 7.5 under the assumption that (7.8) holds for all $0 \le k \le n-1$. We start with the proof of Lemma 7.2.

PROOF OF LEMMA 7.2. Denote by

$$\mathcal{E}_1^{\pm,n} := \mathcal{E}(V^{\pm,n}, \Phi^{\pm,n}), \tag{8.1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_2^{\pm,n} := V_5^{\pm,n} - \partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_6^{\pm,n} - \partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_7^{\pm,n} - U_{a,6}^\pm \partial_{x_2}\Phi^{\pm,n} - U_{a,7}^\pm \partial_{x_3}\Phi^{\pm,n} \tag{8.2}$$

as the extension of $(\mathcal{B}(V^{\pm,n}, \phi^n))_2^\pm$ in Ω_T.

By the definition in (6.25) for $V^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} V_2^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} - S_{\theta_n}V_2^{\pm,n} \\ \quad = S_{\theta_n}\mathcal{E}_1^{\pm,n} + [\partial_t, S_{\theta_n}]\Phi^{\pm,n} + \big(\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})S_{\theta_n}V_3^{\pm,n} - S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_3^{\pm,n})\big) \\ \qquad + \big(\partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})S_{\theta_n}V_4^{\pm,n} - S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_4^{\pm,n})\big) \\ \qquad + \big(\partial_{x_2}(S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})U_{a,3}^\pm - S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_2}\Phi^{\pm,n}U_{a,3}^\pm)\big) + \big(\partial_{x_3}(S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})U_{a,4}^\pm - S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_3}\Phi^{\pm,n}U_{a,4}^\pm)\big), \\ V_5^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} - S_{\theta_n}V_5^{\pm,n} \\ \quad = -S_{\theta_n}\mathcal{E}_2^{\pm,n} + \big(\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})S_{\theta_n}V_6^{\pm,n} - S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_6^{\pm,n})\big) \\ \qquad + \big(\partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})S_{\theta_n}V_7^{\pm,n} - S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_7^{\pm,n})\big) \\ \qquad + \big(\partial_{x_2}(S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})U_{a,6}^\pm - S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_2}\Phi^{\pm,n}U_{a,6}^\pm)\big) + (\partial_{x_3}(S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})U_{a,7}^\pm - S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_3}\Phi^{\pm,n}U_{a,7}^\pm)\big). \end{cases} \tag{8.3}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_1^{\pm,n} = \mathcal{E}_1^{\pm,n-1} + \partial_t(\delta\Phi^{\pm,n-1}) + \partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})\delta V_3^{\pm,n-1} \\ \qquad + \partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})\delta V_4^{\pm,n} - \delta V_2^{\pm,n-1} + \partial_{x_2}(\delta\Phi^{\pm,n-1})(U_{a,3}^\pm + V_3^{\pm,n-1}) \\ \qquad + \partial_{x_3}(\delta\Phi^{\pm,n-1})(U_{a,4}^\pm + V_4^{\pm,n-1}), \\ \mathcal{E}_2^{\pm,n} = \mathcal{E}_2^{\pm,n-1} - \partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})\delta V_6^{\pm,n-1} \\ \qquad - \partial_{x_3}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})\delta V_7^{\pm,n} + \delta V_5^{\pm,n-1} - \partial_{x_2}(\delta\Phi^{\pm,n-1})(U_{a,6}^\pm + V_6^{\pm,n-1}) \\ \qquad + \partial_{x_3}(\delta\Phi^{\pm,n-1})(U_{a,7}^\pm + V_7^{\pm,n-1}), \end{cases} \tag{8.4}$$

which implies

$$\|(\mathcal{E}_1^{\pm,n}, \mathcal{E}_2^{\pm,n})\|_{s_0,T} \le C\delta\theta_n^{s_0-1-\alpha} \tag{8.5}$$

by using $\Delta_n = O(\theta_n^{-1})$, the inductive assumption for (7.8), and Lemma 7.1. Thus we deduce

$$\|S_{\theta_n}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\pm,n}, \mathcal{E}_2^{\pm,n})\|_{s,T} \le C\delta\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1} \qquad \text{for any } s \ge s_0. \tag{8.6}$$

The discussion for the commutators in (8.3) follows an argument from [9]. We now analyze the third term of $V_2^{\pm,n+\frac{1}{2}} - S_{\theta_n}V_2^{\pm,n}$ given by (8.3) in detail.

When $s \in [\alpha+1, s_1+2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})S_{\theta_n}V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T} \\ &\le C\big(\|\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})\|_{L^\infty}\|S_{\theta_n}V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T} + \|\dot{\Psi}_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n}\|_{s+1,T}\|S_{\theta_n}V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{L^\infty}\big) \le C\delta^2\theta_n^{s+1-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_3^{\pm,n})\|_{s,T} \\ &\le C\theta_n^{s-\alpha}\|\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})\|_{L^\infty}\|V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{\alpha,T} + \|\dot{\Psi}_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n}\|_{\alpha+1,T}\|V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{L^\infty} \le C\delta^2\theta_n^{s+1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

by using (7.1), the induction assumption for (7.8), and Lemma 7.1, which implies

$$\|\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})S_{\theta_n}V_3^{\pm,n} - S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_3^{\pm,n})\|_{s,T} \le C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha+1}. \tag{8.7}$$

When $s \in [s_0, \alpha]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})S_{\theta_n}V_3^{\pm,n} - S_{\theta_n}\big(\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_3^{\pm,n}\big)\|_{s,T}\\
&\qquad \le \|(I - S_{\theta_n})(\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_3^{\pm,n})\|_{s,T} + \|\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})(S_{\theta_n} - I)V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T}\\
&\qquad\quad + \|\partial_{x_2}((S_{\theta_n} - I)\Phi^{\pm,n})S_{\theta_n}V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T}\\
&\qquad \le C\theta_n^{s-\alpha}\big(\|\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{\alpha,T} + \|\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})\|_{L^\infty}\|V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{\alpha,T}\big)\\
&\qquad\quad + C\theta_n^{3-\alpha}\|V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{\alpha,T}\|\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n}\|_{s+1,T} + \|\partial_{x_2}((S_{\theta_n} - I)\Phi^{\pm,n})S_{\theta_n}V_3^{\pm,n}\|_{s,T}\\
&\qquad \le C\delta^2\theta_n^{s+1-\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

Together (8.7) with (8.8), it follows that

$$\|\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_n}\Phi^{\pm,n})S_{\theta_n}V_3^{\pm,n} - S_{\theta_n}(\partial_{x_2}(\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,n})V_3^{\pm,n})\|_{s,T} \le C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha+1} \quad \text{for all } s \in [s_0, s_1 + 2]. \tag{8.9}$$

Other commutators appeared in (8.3) satisfy the estimates similar to the above. Thus, we complete the proof.

To show the error estimates given in Lemma 7.3, we first show several lemmas dealing with different type errors.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $\alpha \ge s_0 + 1 \ge 6$. For the quadratic errors, we have*

$$\begin{cases}
\|e_{\pm,k}^{(1)}\|_{s,T} \le C\delta^2\theta_k^{L_1(s)}\Delta_k \qquad \textit{for } s \in [s_0 - 2, s_1 - 2],\\
\|\bar{e}_{\pm,k}^{(1)}\|_{s,T} \le C\delta^2\theta_k^{s-2\alpha+s_0-2}\Delta_k \qquad \textit{for } s \in [s_0 - 1, s_1 - 1],\\
\|\tilde{e}_k^{(1)}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \le C\delta^2\theta_k^{s-2\alpha+s_0-1}\Delta_k \qquad \textit{for } s \in [s_0 - 2, s_1 - 2]
\end{cases} \tag{8.10}$$

for all $k \le n - 1$, where

$$L_1(s) = \max((s + 2 - \alpha)_+ + 2(s_0 - \alpha) - 3, s + s_0 - 1 - 2\alpha, s + 2s_0 - 3 - 3\alpha, s_0 - \alpha - 3). \tag{8.11}$$

Proof. The proof is divided into three steps.
Step 1. From the definition of $e_{\pm,k}^{(1)}$, we first have

$$e_{\pm,k}^{(1)} = \int_0^1 (1-\tau)L''_{(U_a^\pm + V^{\pm,k} + \tau\delta V^{\pm,k};\Psi_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,k} + \tau\delta\Phi^{\pm,k})}\big((\delta V^{\pm,k}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,k}), (\delta V^{\pm,k}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,k})\big)d\tau. \tag{8.12}$$

From (7.1) and Lemma 7.1, we find

$$\sup_{0\le\tau\le1}(\|\dot{U}_a^\pm + V^{\pm,k} + \tau\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} + \|\dot{\Psi}_a^\pm + \Phi^{\pm,k} + \tau\delta\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)}) \le C\delta. \tag{8.13}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|L''_{(U^\pm,\Psi^\pm)}((V^{\pm,1}, \Phi^{\pm,1}), (V^{\pm,2}, \Phi^{\pm,2}))\|_{s,T}\\
&\le C\Big(\|(\dot{U}^\pm, \dot{\Psi}^\pm)\|_{s+2,T}\|(V^{\pm,1}, \Phi^{\pm,1})\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|(V^{\pm,2}, \Phi^{\pm,2})\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\\
&\qquad + \|(V^{\pm,1}, \Phi^{\pm,1})\|_{s+2,T}\|(V^{\pm,2}, \Phi^{\pm,2})\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|(V^{\pm,1}, \Phi^{\pm,1})\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|(V^{\pm,2}, \Phi^{\pm,2})\|_{s+2,T}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e_{\pm,k}^{(1)}\|_{s,T} \le C\Big(&\delta^2\theta_k^{2(s_0-1-\alpha)}\Delta_k^2(\delta + \|(V^{\pm,k}, \Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s+2,T} + \|(\delta V^{\pm,k}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s+2,T})\\
&+ \delta\theta_k^{s_0-1-\alpha}\Delta_k\|(\delta V^{\pm,k}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s+2,T}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{cases}
\|e_{\pm,k}^{(1)}\|_{s,T} \le C\delta^2\theta_k^{L(s)}\Delta_k \qquad \text{when } s + 2 \ne \alpha,\ s \le s_1 - 2,\\
\|e_{\pm,k}^{(1)}\|_{s,T} \le C\delta^2\theta_k^{\max(s_0-\alpha-3, 2s_0-2-2\alpha)}\Delta_k \qquad \text{when } s + 2 = \alpha,
\end{cases} \tag{8.15}$$

by Lemma 7.1, the inductive assumption for (7.8), and $\Delta_k = O({\theta_k}^{-1})$, where

$$L(s) = \max((s+2-\alpha)_+ + 2(s_0-\alpha) - 3, s+s_0-1-2\alpha, s+2s_0-3-3\alpha).$$

Thus, we conclude the first result in (8.10).

Step 2. Obviously, from the definition of $\bar{e}^{(1)}_{\pm,k}$ in (6.29), we have

$$\|\bar{e}^{(1)}_{\pm,k}\|_{s,T} \le C\left(\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{s,T}\|\delta\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty}\|\delta\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{s+1,T}\right), \tag{8.16}$$

which implies the second result in (8.10).

Step 3. Since $\bar{e}^{(1)}_{\pm,k}|_{x_1=0} = (\tilde{e}_k)_1^\pm$, we obtain that $(\tilde{e}_k)_1^\pm$ satisfy the estimate given in (8.10). Moreover, from the definition of $\tilde{e}^{(1)}_k$, we have

$$(\tilde{e}^{(1)}_k)_2^\pm = -\partial_{x_2}(\delta\phi^k)\delta V_6^{\pm,k} - \partial_{x_3}(\delta\phi^k)\delta V_7^{\pm,k}, \quad (\tilde{e}^{(1)}_k)_3 = \frac{1}{2}(|\delta V_H^{+,k}|^2 - |\delta V_H^{-,k}|^2), \tag{8.17}$$

which implies

$$\begin{cases} \|(\tilde{e}^{(1)}_k)_2^\pm\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \le C\big(\|\delta\phi^k\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)}\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\delta\phi^k\|_{W^{1,\infty}(b\Omega_T)}\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\big), \\ \|(\tilde{e}^{(1)}_k)_3\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \le C\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty}\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}, \end{cases} \tag{8.18}$$

yielding the last estimate of (8.10) by the inductive assumption for (7.8).

For the first substitution errors, we have

Lemma 8.2. *Let $\alpha \ge s_0 + 1 \ge 6$. Then, for all $k \le n-1$, we have*

$$\begin{cases} \|e^{(2)}_{\pm,k}\|_{s,T} \le C\delta^2\theta_k^{L_2(s)}\Delta_k \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0-2, s_1-2], \\ \|\bar{e}^{(2)}_{\pm,k}\|_{s,T} \le C\delta^2\theta_k^{s-2\alpha+s_0}\Delta_k \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0-1, s_1-1], \\ \|\tilde{e}^{(2)}_k\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \le C\delta^2\theta_k^{s-2\alpha+s_0+1}\Delta_k \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0-2, s_1-2], \end{cases} \tag{8.19}$$

where

$$L_2(s) = \begin{cases} (s+2-\alpha)_+ + s_0 - \alpha - 1 \qquad \text{for } \alpha \ne s+2, \\ \max(5-\alpha, s_0-1-\alpha) \qquad \text{for } \alpha = s+2. \end{cases} \tag{8.20}$$

Proof. The proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1. From the definition of $e^{(2)}_{\pm,k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{(2)}_{\pm,k} = \int_0^T & L''_{(U_a^\pm + S_{\theta_k}V^{\pm,k} + \tau(1-S_{\theta_k})V^{\pm,k}; \Psi_a^\pm + S_{\theta_k}\Phi^{\pm,k} + \tau(1-S_{\theta_k})\Phi^{\pm,k})} \\ & \times ((\delta V^{\pm,k}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,k}), ((1-S_{\theta_k})V^{\pm,k}, (1-S_{\theta_k})\Phi^{\pm,k}))d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{8.21}$$

As in (8.13), from the inductive assumption for (7.8), we find

$$\sup_{0\le\tau\le1} \|\dot{U}_a^\pm + S_{\theta_k}V^{\pm,k} + \tau(1-S_{\theta_k})V^{\pm,k}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le C\delta, \tag{8.22}$$

and

$$\sup_{0\le\tau\le1} \|\dot{\Psi}_a^\pm + S_{\theta_k}\Phi^{\pm,k} + \tau(1-S_{\theta_k})\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le C\delta. \tag{8.23}$$

Then we use (8.14) in (8.21) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{(2)}_{\pm,k}\|_{s,T} \le & C\big(\|(\delta V^{\pm,k}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|(1-S_{\theta_k})(V^{\pm,k}, \Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \\ & \times (\|(\dot{U}_a^\pm, \dot{\Psi}_a^\pm)\|_{s+2,T} + \|S_{\theta_k}(V^{\pm,k}, \Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s+2,T} + \|(1-S_{\theta_k})(V^{\pm,k}, \Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s+2,T}) \\ & + \|(\delta V^{\pm,k}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s+2,T}\|(1-S_{\theta_k})(V^{\pm,k}, \Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \\ & + \|(\delta V^{\pm,k}, \delta\Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|(1-S_{\theta_k})(V^{\pm,k}, \Phi^{\pm,k})\|_{s+2,T}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{8.24}$$

Using the properties of the smoothing operators, the inductive assumption for (7.8), and Lemma 7.1 in (8.24), we conclude the first estimate in (8.19) when $s \le s_1 - 2$.

Step 2. From the definition of $\bar{e}^{(2)}_{\pm,k}$ in (6.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\bar{e}^{(2)}_{\pm,k}\|_{s,T} \leq & C\Big(\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{s,T}\|\nabla_{(x_2,x_3)}(1-S_{\theta_k})\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty} + \|(1-S_{\theta_k})\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{s+1,T}\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty} \\ & + \|(1-S_{\theta_k})V^{\pm,k}\|_{s,T}\|\nabla_{(x_2,x_3)}\delta\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\delta\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{s+1,T}\|(1-S_{\theta_k})V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{8.25}$$

which implies the second result in (8.19) when $s \leq s_1 - 1$ by the inductive assumption for (7.8) and Lemma 7.1 in (8.25).

Step 3. Noting that

$$(\tilde{e}^{(2)}_k)^\pm_1 = \bar{e}^{(2)}_{\pm,k}|_{x_1=0}$$

from the above discussion, we conclude that $(\tilde{e}^{(2)}_k)^\pm_1$ satisfy the estimate given in (8.19) when $s \leq s_1 - 2$.

From the definition of $\tilde{e}^{(2)}_k$, we have

$$\begin{cases} (\tilde{e}^{(2)}_k)^\pm_2 = \partial_{x_2}((S_{\theta_k}-I)\phi^k)\delta V^{\pm,k}_6 + \partial_{x_3}((S_{\theta_k}-I)\phi^k)\delta V^{\pm,k}_7 + (S_{\theta_k}-I)V^{\pm,k}_6\partial_{x_2}(\delta\phi^k) \\ \qquad +(S_{\theta_k}-I)V^{\pm,k}_7\partial_{x_3}(\delta\phi^k), \\ (\tilde{e}^{(2)}_k)_3 = (I-S_{\theta_k})V^{+,k}_H\delta V^{+,k}_H - (I-S_{\theta_k})V^{-,k}_H\delta V^{-,k}_H, \end{cases} \tag{8.26}$$

which implies

$$\begin{cases} \|(\tilde{e}^{(2)}_k)^\pm_2\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\big(\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\|(1-S_{\theta_k})\phi^k\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|(1-S_{\theta_k})\phi^k\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)}\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty} \\ \qquad\qquad +\|(1-S_{\theta_k})V^{\pm,k}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\|\delta\phi^k\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|\delta\phi^k\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)}\|(1-S_{\theta_k})V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty}\big), \\ \|(\tilde{e}^{(2)}_k)_3\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\big(\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\|(1-S_{\theta_k})V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty} + \|(1-S_{\theta_k})V^{\pm,k}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\|\delta V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty}\big). \end{cases} \tag{8.27}$$

Using the inductive assumption for (7.8) and Lemma 7.1 in (8.27), we conclude the last result in (8.19) when $s \leq s_1 - 2$.

The representations of the second substitution errors $(e^{(3)}_{\pm,k}, \tilde{e}^{(3)}_k)$ are similar to those of $(e^{(2)}_{\pm,k}, \tilde{e}^{(2)}_k)$. Thus, using Lemma 7.2 and the same argument as the proof of Lemma 8.2, we conclude

Lemma 8.3. *Let $\alpha \geq s_0 + 1 \geq 6$. For the second substitution errors, we have*

$$\begin{cases} \|e^{(3)}_{\pm,k}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{L_3(s)}\Delta_k \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0-2, s_1-2], \\ \|\tilde{e}^{(3)}_k\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{s+s_0+2-2\alpha}\Delta_k \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0-2, s_1-2] \end{cases} \tag{8.28}$$

for all $k \leq n-1$, where $L_3(s) = \max(s+s_0+2-2\alpha, s+2s_0+3-3\alpha, (s+2-\alpha)_+ + 2(s_0-\alpha)+1)$.

Lemma 8.4. *Let $s_0 \geq 5$ and $\alpha \geq s_0 + 3$. For the last errors, we have*

$$\begin{cases} \|e^{(4)}_{\pm,k}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{L_4(s)}\Delta_k \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1-4], \\ \|\bar{e}^{(4)}_{\pm,k}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{L_5(s-1)}\Delta_k \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0, s_1-3], \\ \|\tilde{e}^{(4)}_k\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{L_5(s)}\Delta_k \qquad \text{for } s \in [s_0-1, s_1-4] \end{cases} \tag{8.29}$$

for all $k \leq n-1$, where

$$L_4(s) = \begin{cases} \max((s+2-\alpha)_+ + 2 + 2(s_0-\alpha), s+4+s_0-2\alpha) \qquad \text{if} \quad \alpha \neq s+2, s+4, \\ \max(s_0-\alpha, 2(s_0-\alpha)+4) \qquad \text{if} \quad \alpha = s+4, \\ s_0+2-\alpha) \qquad \text{if} \quad \alpha = s+2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$L_5(s) = \begin{cases} \max((s+3-\alpha)_+ + 2(s_0-\alpha), s+3+s_0-2\alpha) \qquad \text{if} \quad \alpha \neq s+3, s+4, \\ s_0-\alpha \qquad \text{if} \quad \alpha = s+3, \\ s_0-1-\alpha) \qquad \text{if} \quad \alpha = s+4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1. Set

$$R^\pm_k = \partial_{x_1}\big(L(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi^\pm_a + S_{\theta_k}\Phi^{\pm,k})(U^\pm_a + V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}})\big). \tag{8.30}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_k^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq &\|L(U_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_a^{\pm}+S_{\theta_k}\Phi^{\pm,k})(U_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}})\\ &- L(U_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k}, \Psi_a^{\pm}+\Phi^{\pm,k})(U_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k})\|_{s+2,T}\\ &+\|\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,k}, \Phi^{\pm,k})V^{\pm,k}-f_a^{\pm}\|_{s+2,T},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that, for all $s \in [s_0-2, s_1-4]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_k^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq &C\Big(\|V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}}-V^{\pm,k}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}(\|\dot{U}_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{s+2,T}+\|\dot{\Psi}_a^{\pm}+S_{\theta_k}\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{s+4,T})\\ &+\|\dot{U}_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k}\|_{s+4,T}(\|V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}}-V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty}+\|(I-S_{\theta_k})\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{W^{1,\infty}})\\ &+\|\dot{U}_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}(\|V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}}-V^{\pm,k}\|_{s+2,T}+\|(I-S_{\theta_k})\Phi^{\pm,k}\|_{s+4,T})\\ &+\|V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}}-V^{\pm,k}\|_{s+4,T}+\|\mathcal{L}(V^{\pm,k}, \Phi^{\pm,k})V^{\pm,k}-f_a^{\pm}\|_{s+2,T}\Big)\\ \leq &\begin{cases} C\delta^2\theta_k^{(s+4-\alpha)_++s_0+1-\alpha}+C\delta\theta_k^{s+5-\alpha} & \text{for } s+4\neq\alpha,\\ C\delta^2\theta_k^{s_0+2-\alpha}+C\delta\theta_k & \text{for } s+4=\alpha.\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{8.31}$$

Thus we find that

$$e_{\pm,k}^{(4)}=\frac{R_k^{\pm}\delta\Phi^{\pm,k}}{\partial_{x_1}(\Psi_a^{\pm}+S_{\theta_k}\Phi^{\pm,k})}$$

satisfy

$$\|e_{\pm,k}^{(4)}\|_{s,T}\leq C\big(\|R_k^{\pm}\|_{s_0-2,T}(\delta\theta_k^{s-1-\alpha}\Delta_k+\delta\theta_k^{s_0-1-\alpha}\Delta_k(\delta+\delta\theta_k^{(s+2-\alpha)_+}))+\delta\theta_k^{s_0-1-\alpha}\Delta_k\|R_k^{\pm}\|_{s,T}\big), \tag{8.32}$$

when $s+2\neq\alpha$, and

$$\|e_{\pm,k}^{(4)}\|_{s,T}\leq C\big(\|R_k^{\pm}\|_{s_0-2,T}(\delta\theta_k^{s-1-\alpha}\Delta_k+\delta\theta_k^{s_0-1-\alpha}\Delta_k(\delta+\delta\log\theta_k))+\delta\theta_k^{s_0-1-\alpha}\Delta_k\|R_k^{\pm}\|_{s,T}\big), \tag{8.33}$$

when $s+2=\alpha$, which yields the first estimate in (8.29) for any $s\in[s_0, s_1-4]$, provided $\alpha\geq s_0+3$ by using (8.31).

Step 2. Set

$$R_k^b=B(U_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}}, \psi_a+S_{\theta_k}\phi^k). \tag{8.34}$$

Then we have

$$\|R_k^b\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\leq\|B(U_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}}, \psi_a+S_{\theta_k}\phi^k)-B(U_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k}, \psi_a+\phi^k)\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}+\|\mathcal{B}(V^{\pm,k}, \phi^k)\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(R_k^b)_1^{\pm}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\\ &\leq C\Big(\|(S_{\theta_k}-1)\phi^k\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)}+\|V_2^{\pm,k+\frac{1}{2}}-V_2^{\pm,k}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}+\|(S_{\theta_k}-I)V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty}\|\dot{\psi}_a+S_{\theta_k}\phi^k\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)}\\ &\quad+\|(S_{\theta_k}-I)V^{\pm,k}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\|\dot{\psi}_a+S_{\theta_k}\phi^k\|_{W^{1,\infty}}+\|(S_{\theta_k}-1)\phi^k\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)}\|\dot{U}_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k}\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\quad+\|(S_{\theta_k}-1)\phi^k\|_{W^{1,\infty}(b\Omega_T)}\|\dot{U}_a^{\pm}+V^{\pm,k}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}+\|\mathcal{B}(V^{\pm,k}, \phi^k)\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{8.35}$$

Therefore, we have the estimate

$$\|(R_k^b)_1^{\pm}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\leq\begin{cases} C\delta\theta_k^{\max((s+2-\alpha)_++s_0-\alpha, s+2-\alpha)} & \text{if } \alpha\neq s+1, s+2,\\ C\delta\theta_k & \text{if } \alpha=s+1,\\ C\delta & \text{if } \alpha=s+2\end{cases} \tag{8.36}$$

for all $s\in[s_0-1, s_1-2]$. Thus we find that

$$(\tilde{e}_k^{(4)})_1^{\pm}=-\frac{\partial_{x_1}(R_k^b)_1^{\pm}}{\partial_{x_1}(\Psi_a^{\pm}+S_{\theta_k}\Phi^{\pm,k})_{x_1=0}}\delta\phi^k$$

satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\tilde{e}_k^{(4)})_1^{\pm}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)}\leq\quad &C\big(\|(R_k^b)_1^{\pm}\|_{H^4(b\Omega_T)}(\delta\theta_k^{s-\alpha}\Delta_k+\delta^2\theta_k^{s_0-1-\alpha}\Delta_k\theta_k^{(s+3-\alpha)_+})\\ &+\delta^2\theta_k^{s_0-1-\alpha}\Delta_k\|(R_k^b)_1^{\pm}\|_{H^{s+2}(b\Omega_T)}\big),\end{aligned} \tag{8.37}$$

when $s+3 \neq \alpha$, and

$$\|(\tilde{e}_k^{(4)})_1^{\pm}\|_{H^s(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\big(\|(R_k^b)_1^{\pm}\|_{H^4(b\Omega_T)}(\delta\theta_k^{s-\alpha}\Delta_k + \delta^2\theta_k^{s_0-1-\alpha}\Delta_k \log\theta_k) + \delta^2\theta_k^{s_0-1-\alpha}\Delta_k\|(R_k^b)_1^{\pm}\|_{H^{s+2}(b\Omega_T)}\big), \tag{8.38}$$

when $s+3=\alpha$, which yields the estimate of $(\tilde{e}_k^{(4)})_1^{\pm}$ given in (8.29) for any $s \in [s_0-1, s_1-4]$ by using (8.36).

Estimate (8.29) for the other components of $\tilde{e}_k^{(4)}$ can be proved by the same argument as above.

Step 3. Noting that the restriction of $\bar{e}_{\pm,k}^{(4)}$ on $\{x_1=0\}$ is the same as $(\tilde{e}_k^{(4)})_1^{\pm}$, we conclude the estimate of $\bar{e}_{\pm,k}^{(4)}$ in (8.29) for any $s \in [s_0, s_1-3]$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 7.3: Summarizing all the results in Lemmas 8.1–8.4, we obtain the estimates given in Lemma 7.3.

PROOF OF LEMMA 7.5. From the definitions of $(f_n^{\pm}, g_n, h_n^{\pm})$ given in (6.22) and (6.35), respectively, we have

$$\begin{cases} f_n^{\pm} = (S_{\theta_n} - S_{\theta_{n-1}})f_a^{\pm} - (S_{\theta_n} - S_{\theta_{n-1}})E_{\pm,n-1} - S_{\theta_n}e_{\pm,n-1}, \\ g_n = -(S_{\theta_n} - S_{\theta_{n-1}})\tilde{E}_{n-1} - S_{\theta_n}\tilde{e}_{n-1}, \\ h_n^{\pm} = (S_{\theta_{n-1}} - S_{\theta_n})\bar{E}_{\pm,n-1} - S_{\theta_n}\bar{e}_{\pm,n-1}. \end{cases} \tag{8.39}$$

Using the properties of the smoothing operators, we have

$$\begin{cases} \|(S_{\theta_n} - S_{\theta_{n-1}})f_a^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_n^{s-\tilde{s}-1}\Delta_n\|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\tilde{s},T} \qquad \text{for } \tilde{s} \geq 0, \\ \|(S_{\theta_n} - S_{\theta_{n-1}})E_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_n^{s-\tilde{s}-1}\Delta_n\|E_{\pm,n-1}\|_{\tilde{s},T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\tilde{s}}\Delta_n \qquad \text{for } \tilde{s} \in [s_0, s_1-4], \\ \|S_{\theta_n}e_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_n^{(s-\tilde{s})_+}\|e_{\pm,n-1}\|_{\tilde{s},T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{(s-\tilde{s})_+ + L^1(\tilde{s})}\Delta_n \qquad \text{for } \tilde{s} \in [s_0, s_1-4]. \end{cases} \tag{8.40}$$

Estimate $(8.40)_3$ can be represented as the following three cases.

Case 1: $s = s_0$. For this case, if we choose $\tilde{s} \in [s_0, \alpha-5]$, then

$$L^1(\tilde{s}) = \max(s_0-\alpha-1, \tilde{s}+s_0+4-2\alpha) = s_0-\alpha-1,$$

which follows from $(8.40)_3$ that

$$\|S_{\theta_n}e_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s_0,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s_0-\alpha-1}\Delta_n. \tag{8.41}$$

Case 2: $s = s_0+1$ *or* $s = s_0+2$. For these two cases, if we choose $\tilde{s} = \alpha-4 \geq s_0+1$, then

$$L^1(\tilde{s}) = \max(s_0-\alpha, 2(s_0-\alpha)+4) = s_0-\alpha,$$

which implies

$$\|S_{\theta_n}e_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n. \tag{8.42}$$

Case 3: $s \geq s_0+3$. For this case, if we choose $\tilde{s} = \alpha-2 \geq s_0$, then

$$(s-\tilde{s})_+ + L^1(\tilde{s}) = (s+2-\alpha)_+ + s_0+2-\alpha \leq s-\alpha-1$$

by using $\alpha \geq s_0+5$, which follows from $(8.40)_3$ that

$$\|S_{\theta_n}e_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n. \tag{8.43}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|(S_{\theta_n} - S_{\theta_{n-1}})E_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n \tag{8.44}$$

by setting $\tilde{s} = \alpha+1$ in $(8.40)_2$ if $s_1 \geq \alpha+5$.

Together (8.41)–(8.44) with (8.39), it follows

$$\|f_n^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n(\delta^2 + \|f_a^{\pm}\|_{\alpha,T}) \qquad \text{for all } s \geq s_0. \tag{8.45}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{cases} \|(S_{\theta_n} - S_{\theta_{n-1}})\tilde{E}_{n-1}\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\theta_n^{s-\tilde{s}}\Delta_n\|\tilde{E}_{n-1}\|_{H^{\tilde{s}}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s+1-\tilde{s}}\Delta_n \ \text{ for } \tilde{s} \in [s_0-1, s_1-4], \\ \|S_{\theta_n}\tilde{e}_{n-1}\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\theta_n^{(s+1-\tilde{s})_+}\|\tilde{e}_{n-1}\|_{H^{\tilde{s}}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\theta_n^{(s+1-\tilde{s})_+ + L^3(\tilde{s})}\delta^2\Delta_n \ \text{ for } \tilde{s} \in [s_0-1, s_1-4]. \end{cases} \tag{8.46}$$

Estimate $(8.46)_2$ can be represented as the following three cases.

Case 1: $s = s_0$. For this case, if we choose $\tilde{s} = \alpha - 4$, then $L^3(\alpha - 4) = s_0 - \alpha - 1$, which follows from $(8.46)_3$ that $\|S_{\theta_n}\tilde{e}_{n-1}\|_{H^{s_0+1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s_0-\alpha-1}\Delta_n$;

Case 2: $s_0 + 1 \leq s \leq \alpha - 3$. For this case, if we choose $\tilde{s} = \alpha - 3$, then $L^3(\alpha - 3) = s_0 - \alpha$, which implies $\|S_{\theta_n}\tilde{e}_{n-1}\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s_0-\alpha}\Delta_n \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n$;

Case 3: $s \geq \alpha - 2$. For this case, if we choose $\tilde{s} = \alpha - 5 \geq s_0$, then $L^3(\tilde{s}) = s_0 - 2 - \alpha$, which implies $\|S_{\theta_n}\tilde{e}_{n-1}\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{(s-\tilde{s})_+ + L^3(\tilde{s})}\Delta_n \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n$.

In summary, we obtain

$$\|S_{\theta_n}\tilde{e}_{n-1}\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n \qquad \text{for all } s \geq s_0. \tag{8.47}$$

From the assumption $s_1 \geq \alpha + 6$, it is possible to let $\tilde{s} = \alpha + 2$ in $(8.46)_1$, which yields

$$\|g_n\|_{H^{s+1}(b\Omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n \qquad \text{for all } s \geq s_0, \tag{8.48}$$

by using $(8.46)_1$ and (8.47) in $(8.39)_2$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{cases} \|(S_{\theta_n} - S_{\theta_{n-1}})\bar{E}_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_n^{s-\tilde{s}-1}\Delta_n\|\bar{E}_{\pm,n-1}\|_{\tilde{s},T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\tilde{s}-1}\Delta_n & \text{for } \tilde{s} \in [s_0, s_1 - 3], \\ \|S_{\theta_n}\bar{e}_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \leq C\theta_n^{(s-\tilde{s})_+}\|\bar{e}_{\pm,n-1}\|_{\tilde{s},T} \leq C\theta_n^{(s-\tilde{s})_+ + L^2(\tilde{s})}\delta^2\Delta_n & \text{for } \tilde{s} \in [s_0, s_1 - 3]. \end{cases} \tag{8.49}$$

Estimate $(8.49)_2$ can be represented as the following three cases.

Case 1: $s = s_0$. For this case, if we choose $\tilde{s} = \alpha - 3$, then $L^2(\tilde{s}) = s_0 - \alpha - 1$, which follows from $(8.49)_2$ that $\|S_{\theta_n}\bar{e}_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s_0,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s_0-\alpha-1}\Delta_n$;

Case 2: $s = s_0 + 1$. For this case, if we choose $\tilde{s} = \alpha - 2$, then $L^2(\tilde{s}) = s_0 - \alpha$, which implies $\|S_{\theta_n}\bar{e}_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s_0+1,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s_0-\alpha}\Delta_n$;

Case 3: $s \geq s_0 + 2$. For this case, if we choose $\tilde{s} = \alpha - 4 \geq s_0$, then $L^2(\tilde{s}) = s_0 - 2 - \alpha$, which implies $\|S_{\theta_n}\bar{e}_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n$.

In summary, we obtain

$$\|S_{\theta_n}\bar{e}_{\pm,n-1}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n \qquad \text{for all } s \geq s_0. \tag{8.50}$$

Letting $\tilde{s} = \alpha$ in $(8.49)_1$, we find

$$\|h_n^{\pm}\|_{s,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_n^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_n \qquad \text{for all } s \geq s_0, \tag{8.51}$$

by using (8.50) in $(8.39)_1$.

Acknowledgements: The research of Gui-Qiang Chen was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grants DMS-0505473, DMS-0426172, DMS-0244473, and an Alexandre von Humboldt Foundation Fellowship. The research of Ya-Guang Wang was supported in part by a key project from the NSFC under the Grant 10531020, a joint project from the NSAF and a Post-Qimingxing Fund from the Shanghai Science and Technology Committee under the Grant 03QMH1407. The second author would like to express his gratitude to the Department of Mathematics of Northwestern University (USA) for the hospitality, where this work was initiated when he visited there during the Spring Quarter 2005.

References

[1] Alì, G. and Hunter, J. K., Nonlinear surface waves on a tangential discontinuity in magnetohydrodynamics, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **61** (2003), 451–474.

[2] Alinhac, S., Existence d'ondes de raréfaction pour des systèmes quasi-linéaires hyperboliques multidimensionnels, *Commun. Partial Diff. Eqs.* **14** (1989), 173–230.

[3] Artola, M. and Majda, A., Nonlinear development of instability in supersonic vortex sheets, I: The basic kink modes, *Phys. D.* **28** (1987), 253–281; Nonlinear development of instability in supersonic vortex sheets, II, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **49** (1989), 1310–1349; Nonlinear kind modes for supersonic vortex sheets, Phys. Fluids, **1A** (1989), 583–596.

[4] Begelman, M. C., Blandford, R. D., and Rees, M. J., Theory of exagalactic radio sources, *Rev. Mod. Physics*, **56** (1984), 255–351.

[5] Blokhin, A. and Trakhinin, Y., Stability of strong discontinuities in fluids and MHD, In: *Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics*, **I**, pp. 545–652, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[6] Chen, G.-Q. and Feldman, M., Multidimensional transonic shocks and free boundary problems for nonlinear equations of mixed type, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3** (2003), 461–494.

[7] Chen, S., On the initial-boundary value problems for quasilinear symmetric hyperbolic systems with characteristic boundary (in Chinese), *Chinese Anal. Math.* **3** (1982), 222–232.

[8] Coulombel, J. F. and Secchi, P., Stability of compressible vortex sheet in two space dimensions, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53** (2004), 941–1012.

[9] Coulombel, J. F. and Secchi, P., Nonlinear compressible vortex sheets in two space dimensions, *Preprint*, 2006.

[10] Courant, R. and Friedrichs, K., *Supersonic Flow and Shock Waves*, Springer: New York, 1948.

[11] Francheteau, J. and Métivier, G., Existence de chocs faibles pour des systèmes quasi-linéaires hyperboliques multidimensionnels, *Astérisque*, **268** (2000), 1–198.

[12] Friedrichs, K. O. and Lax, P. D., Boundary value problems for first order operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **18** (1965), 355–388.

[13] Glimm, J. and Majda, A., *Multidimensional Hyperbolic Problems and Computations*, The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, **29**, Springer-Verlag: New York, 1991.

[14] Guès, O., Problème mixte hyperbolique quasi-linéaire caractéristique, *Commun. Partial Diff. Eqs.* **15** (1990), 595–645.

[15] Guès,, O., Métivier, G., Williams, M., and Zumbrun, K., Existence and stability of multidimensional shock fronts in the vanishing viscosity limit, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **175** (2005), 151–244.

[16] Hörmander, L., The boundary problems of physical geodesy, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **62** (1982), 1–52.

[17] Kreiss, H.-O., Initial boundary value problems ofr hyperbolic systems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **23** (1970), 277–296.

[18] Majda, A., *Compressible Fluid Flow and Systems of Conservation Laws in Several Space Variables*, Springer: New York, 1984.

[19] Métivier, G., Stability of multimensioanl shocks. In: *Advances in The Theory of Shock Waves*, pp. 25–103, PNDEA, Vol. **47**, Birkhäuser: Boston 2001.

[20] Miles, J. W., On the reflection of sound at an interface of relative motion, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **29** (1957), 226–228; On the disturbed motion of a plane vortex sheet, *J. Fluid Mech.* **4** (1958), 538–552.

[21] Smart, L. L., Norman, M. L., and Winkler, K. A., Shocks, interfaces, and patterns in supersonic jets, *Physica* **12D** (1984), 83-106.

[22] Trakhinin, Y., Existence of compressible current-vortex sheets: variable coefficients linear analysis, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **177** (2005), 331–366.

[23] M. Van Dyke, *An Album of Fluid Motion*, The Parabolic Press: Stanford, 1982.

[24] J. von Neumann, *Collect Works*, Vol. **5**, Pergamon: New York, 1963.

G.-Q. Chen, Institute of Mathematics and Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, PRC; Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Road, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA
E-mail address: `gqchen@math.northwestern.edu`
URL: `http://www.math.northwestern.edu/∼gqchen`

Y.-G. Wang, Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 200240 Shanghai, PRC
E-mail address: `E-mail: ygwang@sjtu.edu.cn`